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Table 14.2.2.6.1.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 301 | 324 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 254 (84.4) | 261 (80.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (80.3, 88.5) | (76.2, 84.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0486 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9758, 1.1267) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1961 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk3_1_2

Table 14.2.2.6.1.1.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal Baseline |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 348 | 361 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 314 (90.2) | 337 (93.4) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 24 (6.6) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 14 (3.9) | 4 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 265 (76.1) | 272 (75.3) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (71.7, 80.6) | (70.9, 79.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0106 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9298, 1.0983) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8047 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of Week 26); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk3_1_3

Table 14.2.2.6.1.1.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26-(FAS, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 48 (13.3) | 28 (7.7) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 82.5 | 80.6 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (78.3, 86.7) | (76.4, 84.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0248 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9533, 1.1017) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5068 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea26a Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (04:52)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk3_1_4

Table 14.2.2.6.2.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 301 | 324 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 190 (63.1) | 172 (53.1) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (57.7, 68.6) | (47.7, 58.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1900 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0410, 1.3603) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0108 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk3_2_2

Table 14.2.2.6.2.1.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal Baseline |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 348 | 361 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 314 (90.2) | 337 (93.4) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 24 (6.6) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 14 (3.9) | 4 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 197 (56.6) | 180 (49.9) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (51.4, 61.8) | (44.7, 55.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1353 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9885, 1.3039) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0725 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of Week 26); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:34)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk3_2_3

Table 14.2.2.6.2.1.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >=90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 48 (13.3) | 28 (7.7) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 61.6 | 53.2 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (56.3, 66.9) | (47.9, 58.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1586 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0163, 1.3207) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0277 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All
observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework
Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and
relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea26b Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk3_2_4

Table 14.2.4.5.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >=4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 357 | 364 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 311 | 327 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 241 (77.5) | 229 (70.0) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (72.9, 82.1) | (65.1, 75.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1055 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0077, 1.2128) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0338 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk3_1_2

Table 14.2.4.5.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >=4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal Baseline |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 357 | 364 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 354 | 363 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 324 (91.5) | 340 (93.7) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (8.5) | 23 (6.3) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 3 (0.8) | 1 (0.3) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 250 (70.6) | 238 (65.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (65.9, 75.4) | (60.7, 70.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0769 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9744, 1.1903) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1466 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of Week 26); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:34)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk3_1_3

Table 14.2.4.5.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >=4, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 357 | 364 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 33 (9.2) | 24 (6.6) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 75.5 | 69.9 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (70.9, 80.2) | (65.1, 74.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0800 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9847, 1.1846) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1026 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr26 Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (04:58)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk3_1_4

Table 14.2.6.5.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: <br> Observed Data | Baseline | N | 358 | 361 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 300 | 321 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 137 (45.7) | 114 (35.5) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (40.0, 51.3) | (30.3, 40.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.2875 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0623, 1.5606) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI =Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (23:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk3_1_2

Table 14.2.6.5.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 358 | 361 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 346 | 358 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 313 (90.5) | 334 (93.3) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 33 (9.5) | 24 (6.7) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 12 (3.4) | 3 (0.8) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 142 (41.0) | 117 (32.7) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (35.9, 46.2) | (27.8, 37.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.2559 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0333, 1.5265) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0221 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI =Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli_e Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (03:35)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk3_1_3

Table 14.2.6.5.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli26a Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (08:59)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk3_1_4

Table 14.2.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 5, Supplementary Analysis 1) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 336 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 281 | 307 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 243 (86.5) | 270 (87.9) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (82.5, 90.5) | (84.3, 91.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9822 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9233, 1.0448) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5683 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI =Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:47)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk3_3_2

Table 14.2.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 5, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 336 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 324 | 342 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 293 (90.4) | 320 (93.6) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (9.6) | 22 (6.4) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 12 (3.6) | 3 (0.9) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 254 (78.4) | 282 (82.5) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (73.9, 82.9) | (78.4, 86.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9510 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.8825, 1.0247) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1871 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI =Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli_e Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (03:35)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk3_3_3

Table 14.2.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 5, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple | Week 26 | N | 336 | 345 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 43 (12.8) | 25 (7.2) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 85.4 | 87.8 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (81.4, 89.4) | (84.2, 91.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9726 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9146, 1.0342) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3748 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli26b Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (21:57)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk3_3_4

Table 14.2.8.8.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 1) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 357 | 361 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 299 | 321 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (4.3) | 6 (1.9) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | $(2.0,6.7)$ | (0.4, 3.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 2.3647 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9137, 6.1205) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0761 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel;EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.;N $=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5 Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:47)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk3_2

Table 14.2.8.8.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 357 | 361 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 345 | 358 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 311 (90.1) | 334 (93.3) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.9) | 24 (6.7) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 12 (3.4) | 3 (0.8) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (3.8) | 6 (1.7) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | $(1.8,5.8)$ | (0.3, 3.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 2.2404 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | $(0.8655,5.7996)$ |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0965 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5_e Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (03:35)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk3_3

Table 14.2.8.8.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 357 | 361 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 46 (12.9) | 27 (7.5) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 4.4 | 1.8 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (2.1, 6.7) | (0.4, 3.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 2.4632 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9602, 6.3187) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0607 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
 of subjects included in the analysis model; MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade526x Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (22:08)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk3_4

Table 14.2.9.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Depression of HADS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >=4, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 131 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 112 | 121 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 44 (39.3) | 44 (36.4) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (30.2, 48.3) | (27.8, 44.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0849 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.7698, 1.5290) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6414 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_2

Table 14.2.9.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Depression of HADS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >=4, Supplementary Analysis 2)

## (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 131 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 128 | 139 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 117 (91.4) | 128 (92.1) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 11 (8.6) | 11 (7.9) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 3 (2.3) | 2 (1.4) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 46 (35.9) | 47 (33.8) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (27.6, 44.2) | (25.9, 41.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0707 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.7616, 1.5052) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6945 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl_e Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_3

Table 14.2.9.10.4 Abrocitinib
Page 1 of 1
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Depression of HADS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >=4, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 131 | 141 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 14 (10.7) | 13 (9.2) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 37.7 | 36.2 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (29.1, 46.2) | (28.1, 44.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0474 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.7527, 1.4574) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7835 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All
observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework.
Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and
relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.

MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl26c Table Generation: 22SEP2021 (02:49)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_4

Table 14.2.9.11.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Anxiety of HADS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 1) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 222 | 237 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 182 | 204 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 55 (30.2) | 63 (30.9) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (23.5, 36.9) | (24.5, 37.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9673 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.7170, 1.3048) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8276 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_6

Table 14.2.9.11.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Anxiety of HADS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 222 | 237 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 215 | 234 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 191 (88.8) | 215 (91.9) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (11.2) | 19 (8.1) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 7 (3.2) | 3 (1.3) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 58 (27.0) | 67 (28.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (21.0, 32.9) | (22.8, 34.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9278 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.6900, 1.2475) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6197 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl_e Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_7

Table 14.2.9.11.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Anxiety of HADS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 222 | 237 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 31 (14.0) | 22 (9.3) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 29.0 | 30.5 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (22.8, 35.3) | (24.5, 36.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9381 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.7022, 1.2532) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6653 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All
observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework.
Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and
relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.

MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl26c Table Generation: 22SEP2021 (02:51)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_8

Table 14.2.9.14.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Depression of HADS < 8 Points Response criteria at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 8, Supplementary Analysis 1) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: <br> Observed Data | Baseline | N | 39 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 32 | 36 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 17 (53.1) | 26 (72.2) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (35.8, 70.4) | (57.6, 86.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.7418 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.5072, 1.0851) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1238 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_12

Table 14.2.9.14.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Depression of HADS < 8 Points Response criteria at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 8, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 39 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 38 | 38 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 35 (92.1) | 38 (100.0) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 3 (7.9) | 0 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 1 (2.6) | 0 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 19 (50.0) | 26 (68.4) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (34.1, 65.9) | (53.6, 83.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.7319 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.4985, 1.0745) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1111 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl_e Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_13

Table 14.2.9.14.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Depression of HADS < 8 Points Response criteria at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 8, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 39 | 38 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 4 (10.3) | 0 |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 58.2 | 68.4 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (42.5, 73.9) | (., .) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.8533 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.6045, 1.2047) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3674 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All
observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework
Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and
relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.

MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl26a Table Generation: 22SEP2021 (02:37)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_14

Table 14.2.9.15.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Anxiety of HADS < 8 Points Response criteria at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 8, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 94 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 76 | 77 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 42 (55.3) | 49 (63.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (44.1, 66.4) | (52.9, 74.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.8675 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.6668, 1.1287) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2899 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_16

Table 14.2.9.15.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Anxiety of HADS < 8 Points Response criteria at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 8, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 94 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 90 | 91 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 81 (90.0) | 83 (91.2) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 9 (10.0) | 8 (8.8) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 4 (4.3) | 1 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 44 (48.9) | 54 (59.3) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (38.6, 59.2) | (49.2, 69.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.8310 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.6353, 1.0870) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1766 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; HADS = hospital anxiety and depression scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl_e Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (01:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_17

Table 14.2.9.15.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Anxiety of HADS < 8 Points Response criteria at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 8, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 94 | 92 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 13 (13.8) | 9 (9.8) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 56.3 | 64.9 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (45.8, 66.8) | (54.8, 74.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.8693 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.6823, 1.1075) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2570 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All
observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework.
Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and
relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.

MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 10AUG2021 (02:11) Source Data: adhl26a Table Generation: 22SEP2021 (02:38)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adhl_mk3_18

Table 14.2.10.8.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 3, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: <br> Observed Data | Baseline | N | 358 | 363 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 299 | 320 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 106 (35.5) | 69 (21.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (30.0, 40.9) | (17.1, 26.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.6452 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.2700, 2.1312) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; POEM = patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (03:21)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk3_2_2

Table 14.2.10.8.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 3, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 358 | 363 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 346 | 359 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 312 (90.2) | 332 (92.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 27 (7.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 12 (3.4) | 4 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 110 (31.8) | 71 (19.8) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (26.9, 36.7) | (15.7, 23.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.6075 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.2404, 2.0834) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; POEM = patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm_e Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (06:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk3_2_3

Table 14.2.10.8.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 3, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 358 | 363 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 46 (12.8) | 31 (8.5) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 34.6 | 21.5 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (29.4, 39.8) | (17.2, 25.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.6087 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.2497, 2.0708) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P -value | 0.0002 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; GLMM $=$ generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm26b Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (02:55)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk3_2_4

Table 14.2.10.9.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >=5, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; POEM = patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (03:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk3_3_2

Table 14.2.10.9.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >=5, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 356 | 363 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 344 | 359 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 310 (90.1) | 332 (92.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.9) | 27 (7.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 12 (3.4) | 4 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 283 (82.3) | 301 (83.8) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (78.2, 86.3) | (80.0, 87.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9807 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9176, 1.0482) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5661 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; POEM = patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N$)$; NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm_e Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (03:44)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk3_3_3

Table 14.2.10.9.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >=5, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple | Week 26 | N | 356 | 363 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 46 (12.9) | 31 (8.5) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 90.5 | 90.2 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (87.2, 93.7) | (86.9, 93.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0026 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9532, 1.0545) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9203 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; GLMM $=$ generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm26a Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (00:12)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk3_3_4

Table 14.2.11.7.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 362 | 363 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 301 | 320 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 131 (43.5) | 117 (36.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (37.9, 49.1) | (31.3, 41.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1831 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9774, 1.4323) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0845 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk3_2

Table 14.2.11.7.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 362 | 363 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 348 | 359 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 314 (90.2) | 332 (92.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 27 (7.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 14 (3.9) | 4 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 139 (39.9) | 122 (34.0) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (34.8, 45.1) | (29.1, 38.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1748 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9706, 1.4219) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0982 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom_e Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:37)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk3_3

Table 14.2.11.7.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 363 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 48 (13.3) | 31 (8.5) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 43.8 | 37.0 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (38.4, 49.2) | (31.9, 42.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1805 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9829, 1.4179) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0759 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom26x Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:13)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk3_4

Table 14.2.11.8.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15,
Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 362 | 364 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 301 | 321 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 139 (46.2) | 140 (43.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (40.5, 51.8) | (38.2, 49.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0537 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.8866, 1.2524) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5524 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ).
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk3_6

Table 14.2.11.8.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 362 | 364 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 348 | 360 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 314 (90.2) | 333 (92.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 27 (7.5) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 14 (3.9) | 4 (1.1) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 147 (42.2) | 146 (40.6) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (37.1, 47.4) | (35.5, 45.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0408 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.8749, 1.2380) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6518 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom_e Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:37)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk3_7

Table 14.2.11.8.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 15,
Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 364 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 48 (13.3) | 31 (8.5) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 47.1 | 44.1 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (41.6, 52.5) | (38.9, 49.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0651 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9037, 1.2553) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4520 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All
observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework
Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and
relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
MAR $=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom26x Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:12)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk3_8

Table 14.2.12.4.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 1) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 316 | 325 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 263 | 284 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 205 (77.9) | 202 (71.1) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (72.9, 83.0) | (65.9, 76.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0960 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9936, 1.2089) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0671 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; NRS = Pruritus Numeric Rating Scale; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk3_3_2

Table 14.2.12.4.1.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal Baseline |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 316 | 325 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 303 | 322 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 275 (90.8) | 296 (91.9) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (9.2) | 26 (8.1) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 13 (4.1) | 3 (0.9) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 212 (70.0) | 211 (65.5) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (64.8, 75.1) | (60.3, 70.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0679 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9583, 1.1900) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2345 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; NRS = Pruritus Numeric Rating Scale; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of Week 26); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:27)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk3_3_3

Table 14.2.12.4.1.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 4, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 316 | 325 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 41 (13.0) | 29 (8.9) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 75.4 | 71.0 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (70.4, 80.4) | (65.9, 76.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0620 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9627, 1.1715) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2298 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr26x Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:09)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk3_3_4

Table 14.2.13.9.1.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 1) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 300 | 323 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 152 (50.7) | 133 (41.2) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (45.0, 56.3) | (35.8, 46.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.2287 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0349, 1.4587) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0187 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_1_2

Table 14.2.13.9.1.1.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26-(FAS, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 347 | 359 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 313 (90.2) | 335 (93.3) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 24 (6.7) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 15 (4.1) | 6 (1.6) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 157 (45.2) | 136 (37.9) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (40.0, 50.5) | (32.9, 42.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1944 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0017, 1.4243) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0478 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of Week 26); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26 . The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:30)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_1_3

Table 14.2.13.9.1.1.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26-(FAS, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 49 (13.5) | 30 (8.2) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 48.8 | 40.2 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (43.3, 54.2) | (35.0, 45.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.2113 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.0213, 1.4365) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0276 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda26a Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:16)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_1_4

Table 14.2.13.9.2.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 300 | 323 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 80 (26.7) | 52 (16.1) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (21.7, 31.7) | (12.1, 20.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.6570 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.2134, 2.2628) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0015 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD $=$ scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 07SEP2021 (22:06)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_2_2

Table 14.2.13.9.2.1.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26-(FAS, Supplementary Analysis 2) (Protocol B7451050)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of Week 26); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26 . The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:46)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_2_3

Table 14.2.13.9.2.1.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >=90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26-(FAS, Supplementary Analysis 3) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 49 (13.5) | 30 (8.2) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 25.6 | 15.6 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (20.8, 30.3) | (11.7, 19.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.6385 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (1.2027, 2.2322) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0017 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda26b Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_2_4

Table 14.2.13.9.3.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 1: Observed Data Baseline $^{\text {a }}$ |  | N | 329 | 333 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 273 | 295 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 253 (92.7) | 265 (89.8) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (89.6, 95.8) | (86.4, 93.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0310 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9802, 1.0845) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2361 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_3_2

Table 14.2.13.9.3.1.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD $=$ scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N of week 26); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:31)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_3_3

Table 14.2.13.9.3.1.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 329 | 333 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 44 (13.4) | 26 (7.8) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 90.8 | 89.5 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (87.3, 94.2) | (86.1, 92.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0140 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9612, 1.0697) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6098 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework. Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda26x Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:20)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_3_4

Table 14.2.13.9.8.2 Abrocitinib
Page 1 of 1
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 1)
(Protocol B7451050)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:22)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_4_2

Table 14.2.13.9.8.3 Abrocitinib
Page 1 of 1
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 2)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 2: NRI after withdrawal | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 347 | 359 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 313 (90.2) | 335 (93.3) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (9.8) | 24 (6.7) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 15 (4.1) | 6 (1.6) |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 284 (81.8) | 305 (85.0) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (77.8, 85.9) | (81.3, 88.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9634 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9022, 1.0287) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2654 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; SCORAD $=$ scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
The denominators of $\mathrm{N} 1(\%)$ and $\mathrm{N} 2(\%)$ were N of Week 26. The denominator of $\mathrm{N} 3(\%)$ was N of baseline.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda_e Table Generation: 27SEP2021 (22:46)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_4_3

Table 14.2.13.9.8.4 Abrocitinib
Page 1 of 1
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Supplementary Analysis 3)
(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary Analysis 3: Multiple Imputations | Week 26 | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with Missing Response Imputed, N4 (\%) | 49 (13.5) | 30 (8.2) |
|  |  | Estimated Response Rate (\%) | 89.9 | 90.5 |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (86.5, 93.2) | (87.3, 93.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 0.9933 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9442, 1.0451) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7965 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
A logit-normal GLMM was fit with treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects and a latent subject-level, zero mean, normally distributed random effect, with a logit link function. All observed data (ie, regardless of rescue therapy and without defining missing data as 'non-response') was used. Posterior distributions of the model parameters were estimated under a Bayesian framework Under MAR, missing values were imputed 500 times by random Bernoulli draws using a posterior probability of response. For each such completed dataset, the estimates of the proportions and relative risk by CMH method between abrocitinib and dupilumab were obtained and Rubin's rule was used to combine the multiple estimates and standard errors across the imputed datasets and provide p-values.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; GLMM = generalized linear mixed model; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects included in the analysis model;
$\mathrm{MI}=$ multiple imputation; $\mathrm{MAR}=$ missing at random.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda26p Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (03:16)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk3_4_4

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <40 | Baseline, N | 230 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 230 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 185 (80.4) | 220 (89.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 45 (19.6) | 27 (10.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 154 (67.0) | 179 (72.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (60.9, 73.0) | (66.9, 78.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9239 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8203, 1.0406) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1923 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 132 | 118 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 118 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (87.9) | 104 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 16 (12.1) | 14 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 117 (69.2) | 127 (78.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.3, 76.2) | (72.6, 85.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8776 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7719, 0.9979) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0464 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.0280 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.7684 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5143, 1.1480) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1983 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.6, 97.0) | (60.6, 97.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9852 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7020, 1.3827) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9312 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5379 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 178 (82.4) | 195 (88.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 38 (17.6) | 25 (11.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 159 (73.6) | 160 (72.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.7, 79.5) | (66.8, 78.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0122 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9034, 1.1340) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8350 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 123 (84.2) | 129 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (15.8) | 16 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Responders, n (\%) | 95 (65.1) | 101 (69.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (57.3, 72.8) | (62.2, 77.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9342 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7959, 1.0965) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4047 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4236 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 32 (80.0) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.0) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 26 (65.0) | 34 (66.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (50.2, 79.8) | (53.7, 79.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9750 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7231, 1.3147) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8682 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Week 26, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 269 (83.5) | 280 (89.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 53 (16.5) | 34 (10.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 228 (70.8) | 227 (72.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.8, 75.8) | (67.3, 77.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9795 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8880, 1.0803) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6780 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9774 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : <70 | Baseline, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 106 (80.3) | 122 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 26 (19.7) | 14 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 92 (69.7) | 108 (79.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (61.9, 77.5) | (72.6, 86.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8777 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7620, 1.0109) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0704 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 196 | 184 |
|  | Week 26, N | 196 | 184 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 168 (85.7) | 166 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.3) | 18 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Responders, n (\%) | 142 (72.4) | 129 (70.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (66.2, 78.7) | (63.5, 76.7) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0334 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9093, 1.1744) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6148 |  |
| Weight (kg) : > 100 | Baseline, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Week 26, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 27 (79.4) | 36 (80.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (20.6) | 9 (20.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 20 (58.8) | 24 (53.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (42.3, 75.4) | (38.8, 67.9) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
| Estimate | 1.1029 |  |
| 95\% CI | (0.7451, 1.6325) |  |
| Two-sided P-value | 0.6243 |  |
|  |  |  |
| P -value of interaction | 0.1945 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Responders, n (\%) | 96 (68.6) | 104 (71.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (60.9, 76.3) | (64.4, 79.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9560 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8215, 1.1127) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5615 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6670 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 145 (80.1) | 161 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (19.9) | 22 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 124 (68.5) | 129 (70.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (61.7, 75.3) | (63.9, 77.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9719 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8481, 1.1136) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6812 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 148 (86.0) | 156 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (14.0) | 18 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Responders, n (\%) | 123 (71.5) | 125 (71.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (64.8, 78.3) | (65.2, 78.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9954 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8719, 1.1365) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9461 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8047 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 172 | 176 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 176 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 141 (82.0) | 160 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (18.0) | 16 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 123 (71.5) | 131 (74.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (64.8, 78.3) | (68.0, 80.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9608 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8453, 1.0920) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5401 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Week 26, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 158 (84.0) | 164 (86.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (16.0) | 25 (13.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_1

Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Week 26, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 74 (89.2) | 95 (90.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 9 (10.8) | 10 (9.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 66 (79.5) | 82 (78.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (70.8, 88.2) | (70.2, 86.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0182 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8773, 1.1817) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8122 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Week 26, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 225 (82.1) | 228 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (17.9) | 31 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Responders, n (\%) | 186 (67.9) | 179 (69.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.4, 73.4) | (63.5, 74.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9822 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8754, 1.1021) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7601 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7079 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 95 (56.2) | 89 (55.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (48.7, 63.7) | (47.6, 63.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0169 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8389, 1.2326) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8645 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.2428 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 83 (55.3) | 60 (45.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (47.4, 63.3) | (37.0, 53.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2173 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9616, 1.5410) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1021 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 15 (88.2) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (11.8) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (35.3) | 10 (52.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (12.6, 58.0) | (30.2, 75.1) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.6706 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.3098, 1.4515) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3104 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (72.2) | 13 (68.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (51.5, 92.9) | (47.5, 89.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0556 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6944, 1.6046) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5107 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Responders, n (\%) | 71 (48.6) | 68 (46.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (40.5, 56.7) | (38.8, 55.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0370 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8154, 1.3188) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7673 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4499 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 32 (80.0) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.0) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 18 (45.0) | 24 (47.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.6, 60.4) | $(33.4,60.8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9563 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6100, 1.4991) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8454 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Week 26, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 269 (83.5) | 280 (89.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 53 (16.5) | 34 (10.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 172 (53.4) | 148 (47.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (48.0, 58.9) | (41.6, 52.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1333 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9702, 1.3237) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1144 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4840 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Weight


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Responders, n (\%) | 102 (52.0) | 85 (46.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (45.0, 59.0) | (39.0, 53.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1265 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9169, 1.3840) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2567 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg) : > 100 | Baseline, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Week 26, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 27 (79.4) | 36 (80.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (20.6) | 9 (20.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (38.2) | 17 (37.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (21.9, 54.6) | (23.6, 51.9) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
| Estimate | 1.0121 |  |
| 95\% CI | (0.5733, 1.7869) |  |
| Two-sided P-value | 0.9669 |  |
|  |  |  |
| P -value of interaction | 0.9402 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_2

Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Responders, n (\%) | 70 (50.0) | 67 (46.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (41.7, 58.3) | (38.1, 54.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0821 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8500, 1.3776) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5218 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7685 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 145 (80.1) | 161 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (19.9) | 22 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 98 (54.1) | 88 (48.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (46.9, 61.4) | (40.8, 55.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1259 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9204, 1.3774) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2488 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 148 (86.0) | 156 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (14.0) | 18 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_2

Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right)\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Week 26, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 74 (89.2) | 95 (90.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 9 (10.8) | 10 (9.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 48 (57.8) | 58 (55.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (47.2, 68.5) | (45.7, 64.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0469 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8139, 1.3467) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7210 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Week 26, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 225 (82.1) | 228 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (17.9) | 31 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.2.6.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving EASI Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Responders, n (\%) | 140 (51.1) | 114 (44.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (45.2, 57.0) | (38.0, 50.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1608 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9699, 1.3893) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1038 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5129 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EASI = eczema area and severity index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adea Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adea_mk4_2

Table 14.2.3.1 Abrocitinib
Descriptive Summary of IGA, Absolute Values, Change from Baseline and Percent Change from Baseline up to Week 26 (FAS, OD) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD$(\mathrm{N}=362)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W(N=365) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n (\%) | Mean | Median | SD | Q1 | Q3 | n (\%) | Mean | Median | SD | Q1 | Q3 |
| Observed Data | Baseline | 362 (100.0) | 3.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 365 (100.0) | 3.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
|  | Week 2 | 350 (96.7) | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 350 (95.9) | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Week 4 | 341 (94.2) | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 351 (96.2) | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Week 8 | 336 (92.8) | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 348 (95.3) | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Week 12 | 329 (90.9) | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 342 (93.7) | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Week 16 | 325 (89.8) | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 336 (92.1) | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Week 20 | 319 (88.1) | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 333 (91.2) | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Week 26 | 300 (82.9) | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 324 (88.8) | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change from Baseline | Week 2 | 350 (96.7) | -1.1 | -1.0 | 0.8 | -2.0 | -1.0 | 350 (95.9) | -0.7 | -1.0 | 0.8 | -1.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Week 4 | 341 (94.2) | -1.7 | -2.0 | 0.9 | -2.0 | -1.0 | 351 (96.2) | -1.2 | -1.0 | 0.8 | -2.0 | -1.0 |
|  | Week 8 | 336 (92.8) | -2.0 | -2.0 | 0.9 | -3.0 | -1.0 | 348 (95.3) | -1.5 | -1.0 | 0.9 | -2.0 | -1.0 |
|  | Week 12 | 329 (90.9) | -2.0 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -3.0 | -1.0 | 342 (93.7) | -1.6 | -2.0 | 0.9 | -2.0 | -1.0 |
|  | Week 16 | 325 (89.8) | -2.1 | -2.0 | 0.9 | -3.0 | -2.0 | 336 (92.1) | -1.8 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -2.0 | -1.0 |
|  | Week 20 | 319 (88.1) | -2.2 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -3.0 | -2.0 | 333 (91.2) | -1.9 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -3.0 | -1.0 |
|  | Week 26 | 300 (82.9) | -2.2 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -3.0 | -2.0 | 324 (88.8) | -2.0 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -3.0 | -1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change from Baseline | Week 2 | 350 (96.7) | -32.0 | -33.3 | 24.2 | -50.0 | -25.0 | 350 (95.9) | -21.6 | -25.0 | 22.6 | -33.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Week 4 | 341 (94.2) | -49.2 | -50.0 | 26.0 | -66.7 | -33.3 | 351 (96.2) | -34.4 | -33.3 | 24.4 | -50.0 | -25.0 |
|  | Week 8 | 336 (92.8) | -57.3 | -66.7 | 26.6 | -75.0 | -33.3 | 348 (95.3) | -42.8 | -33.3 | 26.3 | -66.7 | -25.0 |
|  | Week 12 | 329 (90.9) | -59.6 | -66.7 | 27.6 | -75.0 | -33.3 | 342 (93.7) | -47.5 | -50.0 | 25.4 | -66.7 | -33.3 |
|  | Week 16 | 325 (89.8) | -62.9 | -66.7 | 26.9 | -75.0 | -50.0 | 336 (92.1) | -52.5 | -50.0 | 26.3 | -66.7 | -33.3 |
|  | Week 20 | 319 (88.1) | -65.1 | -66.7 | 26.9 | -75.0 | -50.0 | 333 (91.2) | -56.8 | -66.7 | 27.2 | -75.0 | -33.3 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
IGA = investigator's global assessment; OD = observed data. Data after dropout or use of rescue therapy was censored.
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set.
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set with observed data at the specified visit.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adad Table Generation: 01SEP2021 (08:26)
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Table 14.2.3.1 Abrocitinib
Descriptive Summary of IGA, Absolute Values, Change from Baseline and Percent Change from Baseline up to Week 26 (FAS, OD) (Protocol B7451050)

|  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD$(\mathrm{N}=362)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W$(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (\%) | Mean | Median | SD | Q1 | Q3 | n (\%) | Mean | Median | SD | Q1 | Q3 |
| Percent Change from Baseline Week 26 | 300 (82.9) | -65.3 | -66.7 | 27.9 | -100.0 | -50.0 | 324 (88.8) | -58.6 | -66.7 | 26.7 | -75.0 | -33.3 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
IGA = investigator's global assessment; OD = observed data. Data after dropout or use of rescue therapy was censored.
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set.
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set with observed data at the specified visit.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adad Table Generation: 01SEP2021 (08:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adad_s001


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication. Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1 .
Vertical line represented $95 \%$ confidence interval.
IGA = investigator's global assessment; OD = observed data. Data after dropout or use of rescue therapy was censored.
Mixed Model Repeated Measure (MMRM) contained fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction,
baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adad Table Generation: 05SEP2021 (22:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adad_f401

Table 14.2.3.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving IGA < 2 and >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Main analysis: NRI after withdrawal or rescue therapy or any missing intermittently | Baseline | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26 | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 300 (82.9) | 324 (88.8) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 62 (17.1) | 41 (11.2) |
|  |  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Responders, n (\%) | 193 (53.3) | 185 (50.7) |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (48.2, 58.5) | (45.6, 55.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib - Dupilumab Response Difference |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate (\%) | 2.7 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | $(-4.5,9.9)$ |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4612 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.0535 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.9172, 1.2101) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4608 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; IGA = investigator's global assessment; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response difference,
response ratio and odds ratio were calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
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Table 14.2.3.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving IGA < 2 and >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis) (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Visit |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Odds Ratio |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | 1.1169 |  |
|  |  | 95\% CI | (0.8327, 1.4981) |  |
|  |  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4607 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; IGA = investigator's global assessment; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response difference,
response ratio and odds ratio were calculated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adad Table Generation: 06SEP2021 (05:47)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adad_mk3_1

Table 14.2.3.4 Abrocitinib

## Observation Period of IGA Score (FAS, OD)

(Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 m g}$ QD <br> $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 6 2})$ | Dupilumab <br> $\mathbf{3 0 0 m g}$ Q2W <br> $(\mathbf{N}=365)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Observation Period of IGA Score (Days) |  |  |
| n | 362 | 365 |
| Mean (SD) | $175.5(36.81)$ | $179.2(26.47)$ |
| Median (Min, Max) | $183.0(1,268)$ | $183.0(1,221)$ |
| Q1, Q3 | $182.0,184.0$ | $182.0,185.0$ |
|  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
SD = Standard Deviation; IGA = investigator's global assessment; OD = Observed Data;
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set; $\mathrm{n}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set with observed data.
Observation period was defined as last assessment date (on or prior to drop out date if applicable) - date of randomization +1 .
Observation period was assigned as 1 if there was only observed data on or before randomization, and no observed data post randomization.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 130 | 118 |
|  | Week 26, N | 130 | 118 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (89.2) | 106 (89.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 14 (10.8) | 12 (10.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 99 (76.2) | 77 (65.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.8, 83.5) | (56.7, 73.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1670 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9914, 1.3737) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0634 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1958 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <65 | Baseline, N | 337 | 353 |
|  | Week 26, N | 337 | 353 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 295 (87.5) | 317 (89.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 42 (12.5) | 36 (10.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 227 (67.4) | 222 (62.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.4, 72.4) | (57.8, 67.9) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0711 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9602, 1.1948) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2182 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:40)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk4_1

Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Baseline, N | 20 | 11 |
|  | Week 26, N | 20 | 11 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 16 (80.0) | 10 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (20.0) | 1 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 14 (70.0) | 7 (63.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (49.9, 90.1) | (35.2, 92.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1000 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6469, 1.8705) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7249 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9232 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:40)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk4_1

Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Male | Baseline, N | 191 | 204 |
|  | Week 26, N | 191 | 204 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 174 (91.1) | 183 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 17 (8.9) | 21 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 127 (66.5) | 119 (58.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.8, 73.2) | $(51.6,65.1)$ |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1399 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9776, 1.3291) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0948 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 265 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 265 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 228 (86.0) | 222 (89.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (14.0) | 25 (10.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 181 (68.3) | 161 (65.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.7, 73.9) | (59.2, 71.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0479 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9269, 1.1846) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4549 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 24 | 26 |
|  | Week 26, N | 24 | 26 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (79.2) | 22 (84.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (20.8) | 4 (15.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 15 (62.5) | 11 (42.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (43.1, 81.9) | (23.3, 61.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4773 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | $(0.8562,2.5489)$ |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1609 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: ASIAN | Baseline, N | 62 | 83 |
|  | Week 26, N | 62 | 83 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 58 (93.5) | 75 (90.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (6.5) | 8 (9.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: ASIAN | Responders, n (\%) | 39 (62.9) | 53 (63.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (50.9, 74.9) | (53.5, 74.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9851 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7668, 1.2655) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9064 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 4 (50.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (54.1, 100.0) | (15.4, 84.6) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
$\stackrel{\text { CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were } 0 \text { or } 100 \% \text { responders); Response ratio was }}{\text { s }}$ calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 174 | 195 |
|  | Week 26, N | 174 | 195 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 147 (84.5) | 172 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (15.5) | 23 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 122 (70.1) | 120 (61.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.3, 76.9) | (54.7, 68.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1394 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9832, 1.3203) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0827 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 148 | 131 |
|  | Week 26, N | 148 | 131 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 133 (89.9) | 122 (93.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (10.1) | 9 (6.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 99 (66.9) | 84 (64.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.3, 74.5) | (55.9, 72.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0432 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8792, 1.2378) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6280 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 16 (94.1) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (5.9) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Responders, n (\%) | 7 (41.2) | 14 (73.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (17.8, 64.6) | (53.9, 93.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.5588 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.2981, 1.0477) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0696 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 15 (83.3) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 3 (16.7) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (72.2) | 11 (57.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (51.5, 92.9) | (35.7, 80.1) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 213 | 219 |
|  | Week 26, N | 213 | 219 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 182 (85.4) | 196 (89.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (14.6) | 23 (10.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 139 (65.3) | 132 (60.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.9, 71.7) | (53.8, 66.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0827 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9361, 1.2522) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2844 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 144 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 144 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 129 (89.6) | 131 (90.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (10.4) | 14 (9.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 102 (70.8) | 97 (66.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.4, 78.3) | (59.2, 74.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0588 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9066, 1.2366) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4703 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8374 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 35 (87.5) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (12.5) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 25 (62.5) | 30 (58.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (47.5, 77.5) | (45.3, 72.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0625 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7622, 1.4811) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7206 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 317 | 313 |
|  | Week 26, N | 317 | 313 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 276 (87.1) | 283 (90.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 41 (12.9) | 30 (9.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 216 (68.1) | 199 (63.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.0, 73.3) | (58.2, 68.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0717 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9575, 1.1996) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2282 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9615 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 130 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 130 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 112 (86.2) | 122 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 18 (13.8) | 14 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 87 (66.9) | 91 (66.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.8, 75.0) | (59.0, 74.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0002 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8446, 1.1844) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9984 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >=4, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

\left.|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg | Dupilumab 300mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Q2W |  |  |  |$\right]$

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 139 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 139 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 124 (89.2) | 130 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (10.8) | 15 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 104 (74.8) | 92 (63.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.6, 82.0) | (55.6, 71.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1792 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0082, 1.3793) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0392 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1407 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 178 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 178 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 150 (84.3) | 163 (89.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (15.7) | 20 (10.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 109 (61.2) | 105 (57.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (54.1, 68.4) | (50.2, 64.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0673 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8995, 1.2663) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4557 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:40)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk4_1

Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 170 | 173 |
|  | Week 26, N | 170 | 173 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 153 (90.0) | 157 (90.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 17 (10.0) | 16 (9.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 126 (74.1) | 120 (69.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.5, 80.7) | (62.5, 76.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0685 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9354, 1.2206) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3288 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9914 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 120 | 133 |
|  | Week 26, N | 120 | 133 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 102 (85.0) | 121 (91.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 18 (15.0) | 12 (9.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 77 (64.2) | 78 (58.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (55.6, 72.7) | (50.3, 67.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0941 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8998, 1.3304) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3673 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 131 | 121 |
|  | Week 26, N | 131 | 121 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 114 (87.0) | 108 (89.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 17 (13.0) | 13 (10.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 89 (67.9) | 80 (66.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.9, 75.9) | (57.7, 74.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0276 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8639, 1.2223) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7586 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 106 | 110 |
|  | Week 26, N | 106 | 110 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 95 (89.6) | 98 (89.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 11 (10.4) | 12 (10.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >=4, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Responders, n (\%) | 75 (70.8) | 71 (64.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.1, 79.4) | (55.6, 73.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0962 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9112, 1.3187) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3301 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8503 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 170 | 175 |
|  | Week 26, N | 170 | 175 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 147 (86.5) | 160 (91.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (13.5) | 15 (8.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 113 (66.5) | 114 (65.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.4, 73.6) | (58.1, 72.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0204 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8764, 1.1880) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7949 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 185 | 189 |
|  | Week 26, N | 185 | 189 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 162 (87.6) | 167 (88.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (12.4) | 22 (11.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 126 (68.1) | 115 (60.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (61.4, 74.8) | (53.9, 67.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1193 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9625, 1.3018) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1434 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3973 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.4.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) Response >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by
Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=4$, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Week 26, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 235 (85.8) | 231 (89.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 39 (14.2) | 28 (10.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 196 (71.5) | 180 (69.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (66.2, 76.9) | (63.9, 75.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0293 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9221, 1.1489) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6070 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4363 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <40 | Baseline, N | 227 | 243 |
|  | Week 26, N | 227 | 243 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 184 (81.1) | 216 (88.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 43 (18.9) | 27 (11.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 75 (33.0) | 77 (31.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (26.9, 39.2) | (25.8, 37.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0427 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8028, 1.3543) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7541 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 131 | 118 |
|  | Week 26, N | 131 | 118 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (88.5) | 105 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (11.5) | 13 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_1

Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Male | Baseline, N | 191 | 201 |
|  | Week 26, N | 191 | 201 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 168 (88.0) | 178 (88.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (12.0) | 23 (11.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 70 (36.6) | 64 (31.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.8, 43.5) | (25.4, 38.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1510 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8742, 1.5155 ) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3163 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sex: Female | Baseline, N | 167 | 160 |
|  | Week 26, N | 167 | 160 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 132 (79.0) | 143 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 35 (21.0) | 17 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 67 (40.1) | 50 (31.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.7, 47.6) | (24.1, 38.4) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2838 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9556, 1.7247) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0972 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5958 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 267 | 245 |
|  | Week 26, N | 267 | 245 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 219 (82.0) | 218 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 48 (18.0) | 27 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 104 (39.0) | 83 (33.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (33.1, 44.8) | (28.0, 39.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1498 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9130, 1.4479) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2354 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 24 | 25 |
|  | Week 26, N | 24 | 25 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (79.2) | 20 (80.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (20.8) | 5 (20.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_1

Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 174 | 191 |
|  | Week 26, N | 174 | 191 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 142 (81.6) | 166 (86.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 32 (18.4) | 25 (13.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 68 (39.1) | 62 (32.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.8, 46.3) | (25.8, 39.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2039 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9134, 1.5868) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1878 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Week 26, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 129 (86.0) | 122 (92.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (14.0) | 10 (7.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 56 (37.3) | 40 (30.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.6, 45.1) | (22.5, 38.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2320 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8843, 1.7163) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2174 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 16 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 16 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 15 (93.8) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (6.3) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 7 (43.8) | 5 (26.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(19.4,68.1)$ | $(6.5,46.1)$ |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_1

Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.6625 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6525, 4.2360) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2868 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (33.3) | 7 (36.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (11.6, 55.1) | (15.2, 58.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9048 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.3755, 2.1801) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8235 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8316 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 212 | 217 |
|  | Week 26, N | 212 | 217 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 175 (82.5) | 192 (88.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (17.5) | 25 (11.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 81 (38.2) | 72 (33.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.7, 44.7) | (26.9, 39.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1515 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8925, 1.4858) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2779 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 146 | 144 |
|  | Week 26, N | 146 | 144 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 125 (85.6) | 129 (89.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (14.4) | 15 (10.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right)\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 39 | 50 |
|  | Week 26, N | 39 | 50 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 31 (79.5) | 43 (86.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.5) | 7 (14.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (28.2) | 13 (26.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (14.1, 42.3) | (13.8, 38.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0848 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5468, 2.1523) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8158 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 319 | 311 |
|  | Week 26, N | 319 | 311 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 269 (84.3) | 278 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 50 (15.7) | 33 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 126 (39.5) | 101 (32.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (34.1, 44.9) | (27.3, 37.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2162 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9858, 1.5005) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0678 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7545 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : <70 | Baseline, N | 130 | 135 |
|  | Week 26, N | 130 | 135 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 106 (81.5) | 121 (89.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (18.5) | 14 (10.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 45 (34.6) | 43 (31.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (26.4, 42.8) | (24.0, 39.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0868 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7723, 1.5293) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6331 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 194 | 181 |
|  | Week 26, N | 194 | 181 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 167 (86.1) | 163 (90.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (13.9) | 18 (9.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Responders, n (\%) | 80 (41.2) | 56 (30.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (34.3, 48.2) | (24.2, 37.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3328 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0125, 1.7546) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0405 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg) : > 100 | Baseline, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Week 26, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 27 (79.4) | 37 (82.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (20.6) | 8 (17.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 12 (35.3) | 15 (33.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (19.2, 51.4) | (19.6, 47.1) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0588 |  |
|  | $95 \%$ CI | $(0.5726,1.9579)$ |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8554 |  |
|  |  | 0.5957 |  |
|  | P-value of interaction |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 220 | 217 |
|  | Week 26, N | 220 | 217 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 184 (83.6) | 192 (88.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (16.4) | 25 (11.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 84 (38.2) | 70 (32.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.8, 44.6) | (26.0, 38.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1836 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9165, 1.5287) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1965 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 138 | 144 |
|  | Week 26, N | 138 | 144 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (84.1) | 129 (89.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (15.9) | 15 (10.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 177 | 181 |
|  | Week 26, N | 177 | 181 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 142 (80.2) | 159 (87.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 35 (19.8) | 22 (12.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 61 (34.5) | 60 (33.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (27.5, 41.5) | (26.3, 40.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0396 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7780, 1.3893) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7927 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 172 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 172 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 151 (87.8) | 155 (90.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (12.2) | 17 (9.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Responders, n (\%) | 72 (41.9) | 49 (28.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (34.5, 49.2) | (21.7, 35.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4694 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0939, 1.9738) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0106 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1012 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 120 | 132 |
|  | Week 26, N | 120 | 132 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 97 (80.8) | 119 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (19.2) | 13 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 45 (37.5) | 45 (34.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.8, 46.2) | (26.0, 42.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1000 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7900, 1.5317) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5726 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 131 | 119 |
|  | Week 26, N | 131 | 119 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 111 (84.7) | 105 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (15.3) | 14 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Responders, n (\%) | 51 (38.9) | 38 (31.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.6, 47.3) | (23.6, 40.3) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2192 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | $(0.8688,1.7109)$ |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2517 |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 107 | 110 |
|  | Week 26, N | 107 | 110 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 92 (86.0) | 97 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (14.0) | 13 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 41 (38.3) | 31 (28.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.1, 47.5) | (19.8, 36.6) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_1

Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 171 | 175 |
|  | Week 26, N | 171 | 175 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 140 (81.9) | 160 (91.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (18.1) | 15 (8.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 57 (33.3) | 50 (28.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (26.3, 40.4) | (21.9, 35.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1667 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8506, 1.6001) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3389 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 185 | 186 |
|  | Week 26, N | 185 | 186 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 158 (85.4) | 161 (86.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (14.6) | 25 (13.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Responders, n (\%) | 78 (42.2) | 64 (34.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (35.0, 49.3) | (27.6, 41.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2253 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9443, 1.5900) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1263 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8143 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_1

Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 82 | 103 |
|  | Week 26, N | 82 | 103 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 74 (90.2) | 93 (90.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (9.8) | 10 (9.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 37 (45.1) | 31 (30.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (34.4, 55.9) | (21.2, 39.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4992 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0263, 2.1900) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0362 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 272 | 257 |
|  | Week 26, N | 272 | 257 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 224 (82.4) | 227 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 48 (17.6) | 30 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.6 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI < 2 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 2, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Responders, n (\%) | 96 (80.0) | 87 (78.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.8, 87.2) | (70.7, 86.0) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0207 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8940, 1.1653) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7619 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.0733 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Male | Baseline, N | 178 | 191 |
|  | Week 26, N | 178 | 191 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 156 (87.6) | 170 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (12.4) | 21 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 132 (74.2) | 146 (76.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.7, 80.6) | (70.4, 82.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9701 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8629, 1.0907) |  |
|  | Two-sided P -value | 0.6120 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sex: Female | Baseline, N | 158 | 154 |
|  | Week 26, N | 158 | 154 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 125 (79.1) | 137 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 33 (20.9) | 17 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 111 (70.3) | 124 (80.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.1, 77.4) | (74.3, 86.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8725 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7678, 0.9914$)$ |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0364 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.2304 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 251 | 235 |
|  | Week 26, N | 251 | 235 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 206 (82.1) | 210 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 45 (17.9) | 25 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 178 (70.9) | 185 (78.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.3, 76.5) | (73.5, 84.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9008 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8123, 0.9990$)$ |  |
|  | Two-sided P -value | 0.0478 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 23 | 22 |
|  | Week 26, N | 23 | 22 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 18 (78.3) | 17 (77.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (21.7) | 5 (22.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Responders, n (\%) | 16 (69.6) | 15 (68.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (50.8, 88.4) | (48.7, 87.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0203 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6886, 1.5117) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9202 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: ASIAN | Baseline, N | 56 | 80 |
|  | Week 26, N | 56 | 80 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 51 (91.1) | 72 (90.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (8.9) | 8 (10.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 45 (80.4) | 65 (81.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (70.0, 90.8) | (72.7, 89.8) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 161 | 182 |
|  | Week 26, N | 161 | 182 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 131 (81.4) | 158 (86.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (18.6) | 24 (13.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 114 (70.8) | 138 (75.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.8, 77.8) | (69.6, 82.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9338 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8211, 1.0621) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2972 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 142 | 126 |
|  | Week 26, N | 142 | 126 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 122 (85.9) | 117 (92.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (14.1) | 9 (7.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 104 (73.2) | 102 (81.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (66.0, 80.5) | (74.1, 87.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9047 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7940, 1.0309) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1330 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 15 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 15 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (93.3) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (6.7) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 12 (80.0) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.8, 100.0) | (84.7, 100.0) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8444 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6418, 1.1110) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2271 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 18 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 18 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 14 (77.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (22.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (72.2) | 12 (66.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (51.5, 92.9) | (44.9, 88.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0833 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7016, 1.6729) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7181 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7941 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 197 | 204 |
|  | Week 26, N | 197 | 204 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 161 (81.7) | 181 (88.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (18.3) | 23 (11.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 137 (69.5) | 153 (75.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.1, 76.0) | (69.1, 80.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9272 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8210, 1.0473) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2239 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 139 | 141 |
|  | Week 26, N | 139 | 141 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 120 (86.3) | 126 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 19 (13.7) | 15 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Responders, n (\%) | 106 (76.3) | 117 (83.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (69.2, 83.3) | (76.8, 89.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9190 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8158, 1.0353) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1647 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9183 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 37 | 50 |
|  | Week 26, N | 37 | 50 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 29 (78.4) | 43 (86.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (21.6) | 7 (14.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 25 (67.6) | 36 (72.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (52.5, 82.7) | (59.6, 84.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9384 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7076, 1.2446) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6592 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 299 | 295 |
|  | Week 26, N | 299 | 295 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 252 (84.3) | 264 (89.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 47 (15.7) | 31 (10.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 218 (72.9) | 234 (79.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.9, 77.9) | (74.7, 83.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9192 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8397, 1.0061) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0675 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8908 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : <70 | Baseline, N | 122 | 129 |
|  | Week 26, N | 122 | 129 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 100 (82.0) | 115 (89.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (18.0) | 14 (10.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 83 (68.0) | 104 (80.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.8, 76.3) | (73.8, 87.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8439 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7277, 0.9786) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0247 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 183 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 183 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 157 (85.8) | 157 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 26 (14.2) | 17 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Responders, n (\%) | 139 (76.0) | 133 (76.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (69.8, 82.1) | (70.1, 82.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9937 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8849, 1.1159) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9151 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg) : > 100 | Baseline, N | 31 | 42 |
|  | Week 26, N | 31 | 42 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 24 (77.4) | 35 (83.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (22.6) | 7 (16.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 21 (67.7) | 33 (78.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (51.3, 84.2) | (66.2, 91.0) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 205 | 204 |
|  | Week 26, N | 205 | 204 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 171 (83.4) | 181 (88.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (16.6) | 23 (11.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 146 (71.2) | 162 (79.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.0, 77.4) | (73.9, 85.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8968 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8021, 1.0027) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0559 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 131 | 141 |
|  | Week 26, N | 131 | 141 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 110 (84.0) | 126 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (16.0) | 15 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 163 | 171 |
|  | Week 26, N | 163 | 171 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 130 (79.8) | 151 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 33 (20.2) | 20 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 108 (66.3) | 133 (77.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.0, 73.5) | (71.5, 84.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8519 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7438, 0.9757) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0206 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 165 | 167 |
|  | Week 26, N | 165 | 167 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 145 (87.9) | 150 (89.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (12.1) | 17 (10.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 109 | 125 |
|  | Week 26, N | 109 | 125 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 88 (80.7) | 112 (89.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (19.3) | 13 (10.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 73 (67.0) | 98 (78.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.1, 75.8) | (71.2, 85.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8542 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7274, 1.0032) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0548 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 124 | 114 |
|  | Week 26, N | 124 | 114 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 104 (83.9) | 102 (89.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (16.1) | 12 (10.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right)\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 163 | 168 |
|  | Week 26, N | 163 | 168 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 133 (81.6) | 154 (91.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (18.4) | 14 (8.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 117 (71.8) | 135 (80.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (64.9, 78.7) | (74.3, 86.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8933 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7908, 1.0090) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0695 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 171 | 177 |
|  | Week 26, N | 171 | 177 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 146 (85.4) | 153 (86.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 25 (14.6) | 24 (13.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Responders, n (\%) | 124 (72.5) | 135 (76.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.8, 79.2) | (70.0, 82.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9507 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8402, 1.0758) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4230 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4812 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 71 | 96 |
|  | Week 26, N | 71 | 96 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 63 (88.7) | 87 (90.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (11.3) | 9 (9.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 49 (69.0) | 74 (77.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.3, 79.8) | (68.7, 85.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8953 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7402, 1.0829) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2546 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 262 | 248 |
|  | Week 26, N | 262 | 248 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 216 (82.4) | 219 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 46 (17.6) | 29 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.6.11 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with DLQI >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; DLQI = Dermatology Life Quality Index; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: adli Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adli_mk4_3

Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was
calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5 Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk4_1

Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5 Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was
calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk4_1

Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Responders, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | $(0.0,16.1)$ | $(0.0,28.5)$ |
|  | P-value of interaction | 0.4773 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was
calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5 Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk4_1

Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 264 | 245 |
|  | Week 26, N | 264 | 245 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 217 (82.2) | 217 (88.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 47 (17.8) | 28 (11.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (2.3) | 6 (2.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(0.5,4.1)$ | (0.5, 4.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9280 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.3034, 2.8390) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8958 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 25 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 25 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (76.0) | 21 (84.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 6 (24.0) | 4 (16.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Responders, n (\%) | 2 (8.0) | 0 |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 18.6) | (0.0, 13.7) |
| Race: ASIAN | Baseline, N | 62 | 83 |
|  | Week 26, N | 62 | 83 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 57 (91.9) | 75 (90.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (8.1) | 8 (9.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 4 (6.5) | 0 |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.3, 12.6) | (0.0, 4.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 1 (16.7) | 0 |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 46.5) | (0.0, 36.9) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

| Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P-value of interaction | 0.3886 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 177 | 192 |
|  | Week 26, N | 177 | 192 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 144 (81.4) | 167 (87.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 33 (18.6) | 25 (13.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (3.4) | 0 |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7, 6.1) | (0.0, 1.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 145 | 131 |
|  | Week 26, N | 145 | 131 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 125 (86.2) | 121 (92.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (13.8) | 10 (7.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 5 (3.4) | 6 (4.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(0.5,6.4)$ | $(1.0,8.2)$ |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.7529 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.2353, 2.4090) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6324 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 16 (94.1) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (5.9) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 2 (11.8) | 0 |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 27.1) | (0.0, 17.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5 Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/ade5_mk4_1

Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

| Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | $(0.0,18.5)$ | $(0.0,17.6)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P-value of interaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.2624 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 178 (82.4) | 195 (88.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 38 (17.6) | 25 (11.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (5.1) | 6 (2.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (2.2, 8.0) | (0.6, 4.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.8673 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7030, 4.9596) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 141 | 141 |
|  | Week 26, N | 141 | 141 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 121 (85.8) | 126 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (14.2) | 15 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Responders, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $2(1.4)$ | 0 |
|  | $95 \%$ CI | $(0.0,3.4)$ | $(0.0,2.6)$ |
|  | P-value of interaction | 0.6435 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 38 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 38 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 31 (81.6) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (18.4) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 1 (2.6) | 1 (2.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 7.7) | (0.0, 5.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3421 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0867, 20.7810) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8333 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 319 | 310 |
|  | Week 26, N | 319 | 310 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 268 (84.0) | 277 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 51 (16.0) | 33 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 12 (3.8) | 5 (1.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.7, 5.8) | (0.2, 3.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 2.3323 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8314, 6.5427) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1076 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7114 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : <70 | Baseline, N | 129 | 135 |
|  | Week 26, N | 129 | 135 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 106 (82.2) | 121 (89.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (17.8) | 14 (10.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 5 (3.9) | 3 (2.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5, 7.2) | (0.0, 4.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.7442 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.4255, 7.1503) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4396 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 195 | 182 |
|  | Week 26, N | 195 | 182 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 167 (85.6) | 165 (90.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.4) | 17 (9.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 219 | 219 |
|  | Week 26, N | 219 | 219 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 183 (83.6) | 195 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (16.4) | 24 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (5.0) | 3 (1.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (2.1, 7.9) | (0.0, 2.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 3.6667 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0372, 12.9626) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0437 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 138 | 142 |
|  | Week 26, N | 138 | 142 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (84.1) | 126 (88.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (15.9) | 16 (11.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (01:50) Source Data: ade5 Table Generation: 13SEP2021 (02:03)
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: > 30-50 | Responders, n (\%) | 5 (3.9) | 2 (1.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5, 7.2) | (0.0, 4.0) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 2.3256 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.4598, 11.7616) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3075 |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 106 | 108 |
|  | Week 26, N | 106 | 108 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 91 (85.8) | 95 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (14.2) | 13 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 1 (0.9) | 1 (0.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 2.8) | (0.0, 2.7) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 169 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 169 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 139 (82.2) | 158 (90.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (17.8) | 16 (9.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (3.6) | 4 (2.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(0.8,6.3)$ | (0.1, 4.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.5444 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.4437, 5.3760) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4946 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 187 | 187 |
|  | Week 26, N | 187 | 187 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 159 (85.0) | 163 (87.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (15.0) | 24 (12.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Week 26, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 75 (90.4) | 95 (90.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (9.6) | 10 (9.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 7 (8.4) | 5 (4.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(2.5,14.4)$ | $(0.7,8.8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.7711 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5831, 5.3792) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3133 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 269 | 255 |
|  | Week 26, N | 269 | 255 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 222 (82.5) | 225 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 47 (17.5) | 30 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
CI = confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.8.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with EQ-5D VAS Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; EQ-5D VAS Score = EuroQuol Quality of Life 5-Dimension Visual Analog Scale Score.; N = number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 52 (31.0) | 35 (21.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (24.0, 37.9) | (15.4, 28.1) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4238 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9835, 2.0613) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0613 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5289 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 268 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 268 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 220 (82.1) | 217 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 48 (17.9) | 30 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 81 (30.2) | 47 (19.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (24.7, 35.7) | (14.1, 23.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.5884 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.1591, 2.1766) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0040 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 25 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 25 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (76.0) | 20 (80.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 6 (24.0) | 5 (20.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:11)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_2

Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.5150 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7697, 2.9818) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2292 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 2 (33.3) | 3 (37.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 71.1) | (4.0, 71.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8889 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.2101, 3.7611) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8729 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8964 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:11)
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 45 (30.0) | 23 (17.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (22.7, 37.3) | (11.0, 23.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.7217 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.1038, 2.6857) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0166 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 14 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 14 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 13 (92.9) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (7.1) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 4 (28.6) | 6 (31.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(4.9,52.2)$ | (10.7, 52.5) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9048 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.3134, 2.6120) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8532 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 13 (76.5) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (23.5) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (35.3) | 3 (15.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (12.6, 58.0) | (0.0, 32.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 2.2353 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6588, 7.5843) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1969 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6651 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:11)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_2

Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 213 | 219 |
|  | Week 26, N | 213 | 219 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 175 (82.2) | 193 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 38 (17.8) | 26 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 65 (30.5) | 41 (18.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (24.3, 36.7) | (13.6, 23.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.6300 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.1575, 2.2955) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0052 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 145 | 144 |
|  | Week 26, N | 145 | 144 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 124 (85.5) | 127 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (14.5) | 17 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Responders, n (\%) | 41 (28.3) | 28 (19.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (20.9, 35.6) | (13.0, 25.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4542 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9540, 2.2167) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0817 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6804 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:11)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_2

Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 95 (29.7) | 57 (18.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (24.7, 34.7) | (14.0, 22.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.6250 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.2172, 2.1695) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0010 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4725 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : <70 | Baseline, N | 130 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 130 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 106 (81.5) | 120 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (18.5) | 16 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 31 (23.8) | 28 (20.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.5, 31.2) | (13.8, 27.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1582 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7379, 1.8181) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5231 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 194 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 194 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 166 (85.6) | 165 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.4) | 18 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Responders, n (\%) | 41 (29.5) | 26 (17.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (21.9, 37.1) | (11.7, 24.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.6450 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0671, 2.5359) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0242 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7500 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_2

Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right]\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 122 | 131 |
|  | Week 26, N | 122 | 131 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 99 (81.1) | 118 (90.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (18.9) | 13 (9.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 36 (29.5) | 31 (23.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (21.4, 37.6) | $(16.4,30.9)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2470 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8258, 1.8829) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2938 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 130 | 121 |
|  | Week 26, N | 130 | 121 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 109 (83.8) | 106 (87.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (16.2) | 15 (12.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:11)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_2

Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Responders, n (\%) | 41 (31.5) | 24 (19.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (23.6, 39.5) | (12.7, 26.9) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.5901 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0254, 2.4657) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0383 |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 106 | 111 |
|  | Week 26, N | 106 | 111 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 91 (85.8) | 96 (86.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (14.2) | 15 (13.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 29 (27.4) | 14 (12.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (18.9, 35.8) | (6.4, 18.8) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 168 | 176 |
|  | Week 26, N | 168 | 176 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 137 (81.5) | 159 (90.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (18.5) | 17 (9.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 48 (28.6) | 39 (22.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (21.7, 35.4) | (16.0, 28.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2894 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8943, 1.8589) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1733 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 188 | 187 |
|  | Week 26, N | 188 | 187 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 160 (85.1) | 161 (86.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.9) | 26 (13.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 80 | 104 |
|  | Week 26, N | 80 | 104 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 72 (90.0) | 93 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (10.0) | 11 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 30 (37.5) | 20 (19.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (26.9, 48.1) | (11.7, 26.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.9500 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.2007, 3.1669) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0070 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 273 | 258 |
|  | Week 26, N | 273 | 258 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 225 (82.4) | 226 (87.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 48 (17.6) | 32 (12.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.8.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score < 3 Response at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 3, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Responders, n (\%) | 75 (27.5) | 49 (19.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (22.2, 32.8) | (14.2, 23.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4465 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0533, 1.9866) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0226 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3124 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <65 | Baseline, N | 335 | 352 |
|  | Week 26, N | 335 | 352 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 280 (83.6) | 310 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 55 (16.4) | 42 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 255 (76.1) | 280 (79.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (71.6, 80.7) | (75.3, 83.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9569 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8833, 1.0367) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2809 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Baseline, N | 21 | 11 |
|  | Week 26, N | 21 | 11 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 17 (81.0) | 10 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (19.0) | 1 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 125 (74.4) | 130 (80.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.8, 81.0) | (74.7, 86.8) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9215 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8202, 1.0353) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1686 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3931 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 266 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 266 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 218 (82.0) | 217 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 48 (18.0) | 30 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 198 (74.4) | 201 (81.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (69.2, 79.7) | (76.5, 86.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9147 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8341, 1.0032) |  |
|  | Two-sided P -value | 0.0584 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 25 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 25 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (76.0) | 20 (80.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 6 (24.0) | 5 (20.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8782 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6415, 1.2021) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4174 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 13 (76.5) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (23.5) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (64.7) | 14 (73.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (42.0, 87.4) | (53.9, 93.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8782 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5644, 1.3664) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5646 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7478 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 211 | 219 |
|  | Week 26, N | 211 | 219 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 173 (82.0) | 193 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 38 (18.0) | 26 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 157 (74.4) | 169 (77.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.5, 80.3) | (71.6, 82.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9642 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8664, 1.0731) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5045 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 145 | 144 |
|  | Week 26, N | 145 | 144 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 124 (85.5) | 127 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (14.5) | 17 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 38 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 38 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 30 (78.9) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (21.1) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 26 (68.4) | 38 (74.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (53.6, 83.2) | (62.5, 86.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9183 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7016, 1.2019) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5347 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 318 | 312 |
|  | Week 26, N | 318 | 312 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 267 (84.0) | 276 (88.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 51 (16.0) | 36 (11.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 245 (77.0) | 251 (80.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.4, 81.7) | (76.0, 84.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9577 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8830, 1.0387) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2965 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7696 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 130 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 130 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 106 (81.5) | 120 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (18.5) | 16 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 95 (73.1) | 110 (80.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.5, 80.7) | (74.3, 87.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9035 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7914, 1.0315) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1334 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 192 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 192 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 164 (85.4) | 165 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.6) | 18 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0515 |  |  |  |
|  | $95 \%$ CI | $(0.8109,1.3634)$ |  |  |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7050 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P-value of interaction | 0.5173 |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Responders, n (\%) | 113 (81.3) | 115 (79.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (74.8, 87.8) | (72.7, 85.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0250 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9135, 1.1502) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6741 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1430 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Responders, n (\%) | 99 (77.3) | 95 (78.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (70.1, 84.6) | (71.2, 85.8) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9851 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8631, 1.1244) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8241 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 106 | 111 |
|  | Week 26, N | 106 | 111 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 91 (85.8) | 96 (86.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (14.2) | 15 (13.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 85 (80.2) | 89 (80.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.6, 87.8) | (72.8, 87.6) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adpm_mk4_3

Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
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Table 14.2.10.9.5 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving POEM Total Score >= 5 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 5, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{POEM}=$ patient-oriented eczema measure; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (03:25) Source Data: adpm Table Generation: 15SEP2021 (02:07)
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <40 | Baseline, N | 230 | 246 |
|  | Week 26, N | 230 | 246 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 184 (80.0) | 217 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 46 (20.0) | 29 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 82 (35.7) | 83 (33.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.5, 41.8) | (27.8, 39.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0567 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8257, 1.3522) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6613 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 132 | 117 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 117 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 117 (88.6) | 103 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (11.4) | 14 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 49 (37.1) | 34 (29.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.9, 45.4) | (20.8, 37.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2774 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8914, 1.8306) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1823 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3940 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_1

Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <65 | Baseline, N | 341 | 352 |
|  | Week 26, N | 341 | 352 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 284 (83.3) | 310 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 57 (16.7) | 42 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 123 (36.1) | 115 (32.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.0, 41.2) | (27.8, 37.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1041 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8985, 1.3567) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3463 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_1

Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_1

Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_1

Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 269 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 269 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 219 (81.4) | 217 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 50 (18.6) | 30 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 92 (34.2) | 82 (33.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.5, 39.9) | (27.3, 39.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0302 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8084, 1.3128) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8099 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_1

Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline $>=$ 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (76.0) | 21 (80.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 6 (24.0) | 5 (19.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 9 (36.0) | 7 (26.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (17.2, 54.8) | (9.9, 44.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3371 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5883, 3.0393) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4880 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: ASIAN | Baseline, N | 62 | 82 |
|  | Week 26, N | 62 | 82 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 57 (91.9) | 74 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (8.1) | 8 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: ASIAN | Responders, n (\%) | 26 (41.9) | 26 (31.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.7, 54.2) | (21.6, 41.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3226 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8586, 2.0374) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2047 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 4 (66.7) | 2 (25.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.9, 100.0) | (0.0, 55.0) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 177 | 193 |
|  | Week 26, N | 177 | 193 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 142 (80.2) | 166 (86.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 35 (19.8) | 27 (14.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 61 (34.5) | 63 (32.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (27.5, 41.5) | (26.0, 39.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0558 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7924, 1.4067) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7108 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (35.3) | 5 (26.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (12.6, 58.0) | $(6.5,46.1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3412 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.4983, 3.6099) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5612 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 7 (38.9) | 2 (10.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.4, 61.4) | (0.0, 24.3) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 218 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 218 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 176 (81.5) | 192 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 40 (18.5) | 26 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 70 (32.4) | 54 (24.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (26.2, 38.6) | (19.0, 30.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3083 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9682, 1.7678) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0802 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 125 (85.6) | 128 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (14.4) | 17 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 61 (41.8) | 63 (43.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (33.8, 49.8) | (35.4, 51.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9616 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7365, 1.2556) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7737 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1335 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 50 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 50 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 32 (80.0) | 43 (86.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.0) | 7 (14.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 16 (40.0) | 15 (30.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (24.8, 55.2) | (17.3, 42.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3333 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7551, 2.3544) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3214 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 322 | 313 |
|  | Week 26, N | 322 | 313 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 269 (83.5) | 277 (88.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 53 (16.5) | 36 (11.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 115 (35.7) | 102 (32.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.5, 40.9) | (27.4, 37.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0959 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8826, 1.3608) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4069 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5276 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 107 (81.1) | 120 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 25 (18.9) | 16 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 45 (34.1) | 52 (38.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (26.0, 42.2) | (30.1, 46.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8916 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6480, 1.2269) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4812 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >100 | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (32.4) | 13 (28.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.6, 48.1) | (15.6, 42.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1199 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5742, 2.1844) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7397 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1814 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 222 | 218 |
|  | Week 26, N | 222 | 218 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 185 (83.3) | 192 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (16.7) | 26 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 76 (34.2) | 63 (28.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.0, 40.5) | (22.9, 34.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1846 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8982, 1.5623) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2302 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 140 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 140 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (82.9) | 128 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (17.1) | 17 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 55 (39.3) | 54 (37.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.2, 47.4) | (29.4, 45.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0549 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7854, 1.4169) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7226 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5742 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 181 | 182 |
|  | Week 26, N | 181 | 182 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 144 (79.6) | 160 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (20.4) | 22 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 51 (28.2) | 52 (28.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (21.6, 34.7) | (22.0, 35.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9862 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7112, 1.3675) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9335 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 173 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 173 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 151 (87.8) | 153 (88.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (12.2) | 20 (11.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 78 (45.3) | 64 (37.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (37.9, 52.8) | (29.8, 44.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2258 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9505, 1.5810) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1167 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3034 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 122 | 132 |
|  | Week 26, N | 122 | 132 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 97 (79.5) | 119 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 25 (20.5) | 13 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 33 (27.0) | 32 (24.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (19.2, 34.9) | (16.9, 31.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1158 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7336, 1.6971) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6086 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 132 | 121 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 121 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 111 (84.1) | 106 (87.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (15.9) | 15 (12.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 57 (43.2) | 39 (32.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (34.7, 51.6) | (23.9, 40.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3397 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9689, 1.8526) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0769 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 108 | 110 |
|  | Week 26, N | 108 | 110 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 93 (86.1) | 95 (86.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (13.9) | 15 (13.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Responders, n (\%) | 41 (38.0) | 46 (41.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.8, 47.1) | (32.6, 51.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9078 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6548, 1.2585) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5617 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.2529 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 140 (81.4) | 157 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 32 (18.6) | 17 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 65 (37.8) | 64 (36.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.5, 45.0) | (29.6, 43.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0274 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7817, 1.3504) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8461 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Week 26, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 159 (84.6) | 163 (86.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 29 (15.4) | 26 (13.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 65 (34.6) | 53 (28.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (27.8, 41.4) | (21.6, 34.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2329 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9121, 1.6666) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1732 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3797 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 83 | 104 |
|  | Week 26, N | 83 | 104 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 74 (89.2) | 93 (89.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 9 (10.8) | 11 (10.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 17 (20.5) | 20 (19.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (11.8, 29.2) | (11.7, 26.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0651 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5971, 1.8996) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8309 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index I Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 274 | 258 |
|  | Week 26, N | 274 | 258 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 225 (82.1) | 226 (87.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (17.9) | 32 (12.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 114 (41.6) | 97 (37.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (35.8, 47.4) | (31.7, 43.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1066 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8964, 1.3662) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3459 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9030 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <40 | Baseline, N | 230 | 246 |
|  | Week 26, N | 230 | 246 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 184 (80.0) | 217 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 46 (20.0) | 29 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 85 (37.0) | 95 (38.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.7, 43.2) | (32.5, 44.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9570 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7597, 1.2055) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7089 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 132 | 118 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 118 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 117 (88.6) | 104 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (11.4) | 14 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 54 (40.9) | 45 (38.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.5, 49.3) | (29.4, 46.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0727 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7884, 1.4596) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6550 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5610 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <65 | Baseline, N | 341 | 353 |
|  | Week 26, N | 341 | 353 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 284 (83.3) | 311 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 57 (16.7) | 42 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 131 (38.4) | 137 (38.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (33.3, 43.6) | (33.7, 43.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9899 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8205, 1.1942) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9152 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Baseline, N | 21 | 11 |
|  | Week 26, N | 21 | 11 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 17 (81.0) | 10 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (19.0) | 1 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 8 (38.1) | 3 (27.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (17.3, 58.9) | $(1.0,53.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3968 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.4611, 4.2316) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5545 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5482 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)

## (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 269 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 269 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 219 (81.4) | 217 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 50 (18.6) | 30 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 102 (37.9) | 102 (41.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.1, 43.7) | (35.2, 47.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9182 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7419, 1.1365) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4330 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 19 (76.0) | 21 (80.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 6 (24.0) | 5 (19.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 7 (28.0) | 7 (26.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (10.4, 45.6) | (9.9, 44.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0400 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.4261, 2.5383) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9313 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: ASIAN | Baseline, N | 62 | 83 |
|  | Week 26, N | 62 | 83 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 57 (91.9) | 75 (90.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (8.1) | 8 (9.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: ASIAN | Responders, n (\%) | 26 (41.9) | 29 (34.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.7, 54.2) | (24.7, 45.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2002 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7928, 1.8170) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3884 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 4 (66.7) | 2 (25.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (28.9, 100.0) | (0.0, 55.0) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:37) Source Data: adom Table Generation: 12SEP2021 (10:36)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adom_mk4_2

Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 177 | 194 |
|  | Week 26, N | 177 | 194 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 142 (80.2) | 167 (86.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 35 (19.8) | 27 (13.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 69 (39.0) | 72 (37.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.8, 46.2) | (30.3, 43.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0504 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8100, 1.3621) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7109 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Week 26, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 129 (86.0) | 121 (91.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (14.0) | 11 (8.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 58 (38.7) | 58 (43.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.9, 46.5) | (35.5, 52.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8800 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6659, 1.1630) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3689 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 16 (94.1) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (5.9) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Responders, n (\%) | 5 (29.4) | 5 (26.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(7.8,51.1)$ | $(6.5,46.1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1176 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.3900, 3.2029) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8360 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 7 (38.9) | 5 (26.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.4, 61.4) | $(6.5,46.1)$ |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 219 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 219 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 176 (81.5) | 193 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 40 (18.5) | 26 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 74 (34.3) | 72 (32.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (27.9, 40.6) | (26.7, 39.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0421 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7999, 1.3575) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7602 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
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Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 50 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 50 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 32 (80.0) | 43 (86.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.0) | 7 (14.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 17 (42.5) | 17 (34.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (27.2, 57.8) | (20.9, 47.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2500 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7370, 2.1200) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4077 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Week 26, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 269 (83.5) | 278 (88.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 53 (16.5) | 36 (11.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 122 (37.9) | 123 (39.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.6, 43.2) | (33.8, 44.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9672 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7948, 1.1771) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7394 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3725 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 107 (81.1) | 120 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 25 (18.9) | 16 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 43 (32.6) | 58 (42.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (24.6, 40.6) | (34.3, 51.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.7638 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5583, 1.0450) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0920 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >100 | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (32.4) | 13 (28.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.6, 48.1) | (15.6, 42.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1199 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5742, 2.1844) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7397 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1229 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 222 | 219 |
|  | Week 26, N | 222 | 219 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 185 (83.3) | 193 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (16.7) | 26 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 79 (35.6) | 77 (35.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.3, 41.9) | (28.8, 41.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0121 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7864, 1.3026) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9255 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 140 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 140 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (82.9) | 128 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (17.1) | 17 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 60 (42.9) | 63 (43.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (34.7, 51.1) | (35.4, 51.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9864 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7556, 1.2877) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9198 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8907 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 144 (79.6) | 161 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (20.4) | 22 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 54 (29.8) | 66 (36.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (23.2, 36.5) | (29.1, 43.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8272 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | $(0.6158,1.1113)$ |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2079 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 173 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 173 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 151 (87.8) | 153 (88.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (12.2) | 20 (11.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 84 (48.8) | 72 (41.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (41.4, 56.3) | (34.3, 49.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1734 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9290, 1.4822) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1795 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.0687 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 122 | 133 |
|  | Week 26, N | 122 | 133 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 97 (79.5) | 120 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 25 (20.5) | 13 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 35 (28.7) | 42 (31.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (20.7, 36.7) | (23.7, 39.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9085 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6242, 1.3222) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6161 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 132 | 121 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 121 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 111 (84.1) | 106 (87.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (15.9) | 15 (12.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 61 (46.2) | 47 (38.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (37.7, 54.7) | (30.2, 47.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1897 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8906, 1.5893) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2397 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 108 | 110 |
|  | Week 26, N | 108 | 110 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 93 (86.1) | 95 (86.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 15 (13.9) | 15 (13.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Responders, n (\%) | 43 (39.8) | 51 (46.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.6, 49.0) | (37.0, 55.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8588 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6318, 1.1672) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3308 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.2762 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 172 | 175 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 175 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 140 (81.4) | 158 (90.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 32 (18.6) | 17 (9.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 68 (39.5) | 72 (41.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.2, 46.8) | (33.9, 48.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9609 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7439, 1.2413) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7602 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Week 26, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 159 (84.6) | 163 (86.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 29 (15.4) | 26 (13.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 70 (37.2) | 68 (36.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (30.3, 44.1) | (29.1, 42.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0349 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7934, 1.3499) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6937 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Week 26, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 74 (89.2) | 94 (89.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 9 (10.8) | 11 (10.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 20 (24.1) | 28 (26.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (14.9, 33.3) | (18.2, 35.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9036 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5501, 1.4844) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6890 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P -value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.11.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Sleep Problems Index II Score >= 15 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 15, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 274 | 258 |
|  | Week 26, N | 274 | 258 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 225 (82.1) | 226 (87.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (17.9) | 32 (12.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 119 (43.4) | 112 (43.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (37.6, 49.3) | (37.4, 49.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0005 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8240, 1.2148) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9963 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7081 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; MOS = medical outcomes study; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated by using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <65 | Baseline, N | 298 | 314 |
|  | Week 26, N | 298 | 314 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 247 (82.9) | 274 (87.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 51 (17.1) | 40 (12.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 190 (63.8) | 194 (61.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.3, 69.2) | (56.4, 67.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0320 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9134, 1.1659) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6133 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Baseline, N | 18 | 11 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 11 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 16 (88.9) | 10 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (11.1) | 1 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk4_3

Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 107 (69.9) | 95 (64.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.7, 77.2) | (56.9, 72.4) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0821 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9236, 1.2679) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3287 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5105 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 233 | 229 |
|  | Week 26, N | 233 | 229 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 190 (81.5) | 200 (87.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 43 (18.5) | 29 (12.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 154 (66.1) | 140 (61.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (60.0, 72.2) | (54.8, 67.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0811 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9415, 1.2414) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2690 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 21 | 23 |
|  | Week 26, N | 21 | 23 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 16 (76.2) | 18 (78.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (23.8) | 5 (21.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9460 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7176, 1.2472) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6939 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 6 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 6 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 6 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 5 (83.3) | 5 (83.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (53.5, 100.0) | (53.5, 100.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0000 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6029, 1.6587) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 1.0000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8161 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 83 (64.3) | 74 (59.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (56.1, 72.6) | (50.6, 67.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0868 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8951, 1.3197) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4005 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 15 | 16 |
|  | Week 26, N | 15 | 16 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (93.3) | 15 (93.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 1 (6.7) | 1 (6.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (40.0) | 11 (68.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (15.2, 64.8) | (46.0, 91.5) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.5818 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.2882, 1.1744) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1307 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 14 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 14 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 11 (78.6) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 3 (21.4) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 11 (78.6) | 10 (52.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (57.1, 100.0) | (30.2, 75.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4929 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8994, 2.4780) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1212 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1887 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right)\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 38 | 45 |
|  | Week 26, N | 38 | 45 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 31 (81.6) | 38 (84.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (18.4) | 7 (15.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 22 (57.9) | 26 (57.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (42.2, 73.6) | (43.3, 72.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0020 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6931, 1.4487) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9914 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 278 | 280 |
|  | Week 26, N | 278 | 280 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 232 (83.5) | 246 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 46 (16.5) | 34 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 183 (65.8) | 176 (62.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (60.3, 71.4) | (57.2, 68.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0473 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9255, 1.1850) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4641 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8239 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 116 | 119 |
|  | Week 26, N | 116 | 119 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 93 (80.2) | 103 (86.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (19.8) | 16 (13.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 73 (62.9) | 79 (66.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (54.1, 71.7) | (57.9, 74.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9479 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7844, 1.1456) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 172 | 167 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 167 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 147 (85.5) | 150 (89.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 25 (14.5) | 17 (10.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Responders, n (\%) | 113 (65.7) | 100 (59.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.6, 72.8) | (52.4, 67.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0972 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9307, 1.2934) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2694 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg) : >100 | Baseline, N | 28 | 39 |
|  | Week 26, N | 28 | 39 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 23 (82.1) | 31 (79.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 5 (17.9) | 8 (20.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 19 (67.9) | 23 (59.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (50.6, 85.2) | (43.5, 74.4) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
| Estimate | 1.1506 |  |
| 95\% CI | (0.7984, 1.6581) |  |
| Two-sided P-value | 0.4517 |  |
|  |  |  |
| P -value of interaction | 0.4450 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 191 | 192 |
|  | Week 26, N | 191 | 192 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 161 (84.3) | 167 (87.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (15.7) | 25 (13.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 123 (64.4) | 123 (64.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (57.6, 71.2) | (57.3, 70.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0052 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8657, 1.1673) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9454 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 125 | 133 |
|  | Week 26, N | 125 | 133 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 102 (81.6) | 117 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (18.4) | 16 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Responders, n (\%) | 111 (72.5) | 95 (60.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.5, 79.6) | (52.5, 67.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2066 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0281, 1.4161) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0215 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.0129 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk4_3

Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 106 | 115 |
|  | Week 26, N | 106 | 115 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 84 (79.2) | 102 (88.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (20.8) | 13 (11.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 61 (57.5) | 73 (63.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (48.1, 67.0) | (54.7, 72.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9066 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7316, 1.1233) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3698 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Baseline, N | 115 | 110 |
|  | Week 26, N | 115 | 110 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 98 (85.2) | 96 (87.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 17 (14.8) | 14 (12.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Responders, n (\%) | 79 (68.7) | 68 (61.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (60.2, 77.2) | (52.7, 70.9) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1113 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9173, 1.3462) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2811 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 95 | 100 |
|  | Week 26, N | 95 | 100 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 81 (85.3) | 86 (86.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 14 (14.7) | 14 (14.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 65 (68.4) | 61 (61.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (59.1, 77.8) | (51.4, 70.6) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 59 | 86 |
|  | Week 26, N | 59 | 86 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 53 (89.8) | 75 (87.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 6 (10.2) | 11 (12.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 34 (57.6) | 47 (54.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (45.0, 70.2) | (44.1, 65.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0545 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7879, 1.4112) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7214 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 254 | 238 |
|  | Week 26, N | 254 | 238 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 208 (81.9) | 208 (87.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 46 (18.1) | 30 (12.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.12.4.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving Skin Pain NRS >= 4 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 4, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Responders, n (\%) | 169 (66.5) | 155 (65.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (60.7, 72.3) | (59.1, 71.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0216 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8994, 1.1605) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7420 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8456 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
$\stackrel{\text { CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were } 0 \text { or } 100 \% \text { responders); Response ratio was }}{\text { s }}$ calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adnr Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:33)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adnr_mk4_3

Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | Responders, n (\%) | 75 (44.4) | 72 (44.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (36.9, 51.9) | (37.0, 52.4) |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9924 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7800, 1.2625) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9502 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1104 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (05:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_1

Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 269 | 248 |
|  | Week 26, N | 269 | 248 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 220 (81.8) | 219 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (18.2) | 29 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 114 (42.4) | 95 (38.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (36.5, 48.3) | (32.3, 44.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1063 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8962, 1.3657) |  |
|  | Two-sided P -value | 0.3471 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 18 (72.0) | 21 (80.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (28.0) | 5 (19.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 177 | 195 |
|  | Week 26, N | 177 | 195 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 141 (79.7) | 168 (86.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (20.3) | 27 (13.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 75 (42.4) | 65 (33.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (35.1, 49.7) | (26.7, 39.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2712 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9777, 1.6528) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0732 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Week 26, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 130 (86.7) | 122 (92.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (13.3) | 10 (7.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 61 (40.7) | 47 (35.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.8, 48.5) | (27.4, 43.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1421 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8461, 1.5417) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3853 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 15 (88.2) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (11.8) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 4 (23.5) | 9 (47.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(3.4,43.7)$ | (24.9, 69.8) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.4967 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.1866, 1.3226) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1614 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 12 (66.7) | 12 (63.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (44.9, 88.4) | (41.5, 84.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0556 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6571, 1.6956) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8231 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3197 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 176 (81.5) | 194 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 40 (18.5) | 26 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 92 (42.6) | 71 (32.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (36.0, 49.2) | (26.1, 38.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3198 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0318, 1.6882) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0272 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 124 (84.9) | 129 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (15.1) | 16 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right)\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 32 (80.0) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.0) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 18 (45.0) | 19 (37.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (29.6, 60.4) | (24.0, 50.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2079 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7369, 1.9800) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4538 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Week 26, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 268 (83.2) | 279 (88.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 54 (16.8) | 35 (11.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 134 (41.6) | 114 (36.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (36.2, 47.0) | (31.0, 41.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1462 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9427, 1.3937) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1711 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.8468 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 105 (79.5) | 121 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (20.5) | 15 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 55 (41.7) | 60 (44.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (33.3, 50.1) | (35.8, 52.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9444 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7162, 1.2454) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6855 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 196 | 184 |
|  | Week 26, N | 196 | 184 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 168 (85.7) | 166 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.3) | 18 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
| Estimate | 1.2132 |  |
| 95\% CI | (0.6108, 2.4099) |  |
| Two-sided P-value | 0.5809 |  |
|  |  |  |
| P -value of interaction | 0.2141 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Responders, n (\%) | 57 (40.7) | 52 (35.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (32.6, 48.9) | (28.1, 43.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1353 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8448, 1.5257) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9023 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QD |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Dupilumab 300mg <br>

Q2W\end{array}\right]\)

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >30-50 | Responders, n (\%) | 53 (40.2) | 36 (29.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.8, 48.5) | (21.6, 37.9) |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3495 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9567, 1.9036) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0877 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Baseline, N | 108 | 111 |
|  | Week 26, N | 108 | 111 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 91 (84.3) | 97 (87.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 17 (15.7) | 14 (12.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 44 (40.7) | 44 (39.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (31.5, 50.0) | (30.5, 48.7) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 172 | 176 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 176 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 140 (81.4) | 160 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 32 (18.6) | 16 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 78 (45.3) | 70 (39.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (37.9, 52.8) | (32.5, 47.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1402 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8925, 1.4566) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2937 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Week 26, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 158 (84.0) | 163 (86.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (16.0) | 26 (13.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.1.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 75\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Responders, n (\%) | 114 (41.6) | 88 (34.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (35.8, 47.4) | (28.2, 39.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2245 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9825, 1.5262) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0714 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3469 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Responders, n (\%) | 5 (23.8) | 0 |
|  | 95\% CI | $(5.6,42.0)$ | (0.0, 28.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3700 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 269 | 248 |
|  | Week 26, N | 269 | 248 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 220 (81.8) | 219 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (18.2) | 29 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 58 (21.6) | 39 (15.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.6, 26.5) | (11.2, 20.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3711 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9495, 1.9797) |  |
|  | Two-sided P -value | 0.0922 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | Baseline, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Week 26, N | 25 | 26 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 18 (72.0) | 21 (80.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (28.0) | 5 (19.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Responders, n (\%) | 27 (18.0) | 19 (14.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (11.9, 24.1) | (8.4, 20.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2505 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7300, 2.1422) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4156 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 15 (88.2) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (11.8) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 1 (5.9) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0, 17.1) | $(2.7,39.4)$ |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.2794 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.0345, 2.2620) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2321 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 8 (44.4) | 7 (36.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (21.5, 67.4) | (15.2, 58.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.2063 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5512, 2.6400) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6387 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1251 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 176 (81.5) | 194 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 40 (18.5) | 26 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 50 (23.1) | 30 (13.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (17.5, 28.8) | $(9.1,18.2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.6975 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.1245, 2.5626) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0118 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 124 (84.9) | 129 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (15.1) | 16 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Responders, n (\%) | 30 (20.5) | 22 (15.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (14.0, 27.1) | (9.3, 21.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.3543 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8215, 2.2325) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2344 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.4942 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Previous cyclosporine exposure


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI) (Protocol B7451050)

Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Responders, n (\%) | 73 (22.7) | 48 (15.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (18.1, 27.2) | (11.3, 19.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4830 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0669, 2.0616) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0190 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5056 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : <70 | Baseline, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 105 (79.5) | 121 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (20.5) | 15 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 24 (18.2) | 22 (16.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (11.6, 24.8) | (10.0, 22.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.1240 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6638, 1.9031) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6636 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Baseline, N | 196 | 184 |
|  | Week 26, N | 196 | 184 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 168 (85.7) | 166 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 28 (14.3) | 18 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): >=70 and <=100 | Responders, n (\%) | 50 (25.5) | 26 (14.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (19.4, 31.6) | (9.1, 19.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.8053 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.1755, 2.7727) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0070 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (kg) : > 100 | Baseline, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Week 26, N | 34 | 45 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 27 (79.4) | 36 (80.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 7 (20.6) | 9 (20.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 6 (17.6) | 4 (8.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | $(4.8,30.5)$ | $(0.6,17.2)$ |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dupilumab 300mg } \\ \text { Q2W } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
| Estimate | 1.9853 |  |
| 95\% CI | (0.6075, 6.4879) |  |
| Two-sided P-value | 0.2564 |  |
|  |  |  |
| P -value of interaction | 0.3544 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
AD Duration


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Responders, n (\%) | 32 (22.9) | 23 (15.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (15.9, 29.8) | (9.9, 21.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4410 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8891, 2.3354) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1381 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6921 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 144 (79.6) | 160 (87.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (20.4) | 23 (12.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 46 (25.4) | 27 (14.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (19.1, 31.8) | $(9.6,19.9)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.7225 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.1223, 2.6439) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0129 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 149 (86.6) | 156 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (13.4) | 18 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Responders, n (\%) | 33 (19.2) | 23 (13.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (13.3, 25.1) | (8.2, 18.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.4515 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8902, 2.3666) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1353 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6057 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (05:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_2

Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n $(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n
$(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.2.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD Response >= 90\% Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS, NRI)
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Responders, n (\%) | 59 (21.5) | 36 (13.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (16.7, 26.4) | (9.7, 18.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.5492 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (1.0614, 2.2610) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0233 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9542 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; n (\%) = number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (05:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_2

Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 117 | 104 |
|  | Week 26, N | 117 | 104 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 104 (88.9) | 92 (88.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 13 (11.1) | 12 (11.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 100 (85.5) | 83 (79.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (79.1, 91.9) | (72.1, 87.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0710 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9478, 1.2101) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2715 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.0459 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Baseline, N | 15 | 11 |
|  | Week 26, N | 15 | 11 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 13 (86.7) | 10 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (13.3) | 1 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (86.7) | 10 (90.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (69.5, 100.0) | (73.9, 100.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9533 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7259, 1.2521) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7312 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9325 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Page 5 of 32
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Male | Baseline, N | 170 | 186 |
|  | Week 26, N | 170 | 186 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 149 (87.6) | 164 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 21 (12.4) | 22 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 137 (80.6) | 145 (78.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (74.6, 86.5) | (72.0, 83.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0338 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.9296, 1.1496) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5402 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 244 | 225 |
|  | Week 26, N | 244 | 225 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 200 (82.0) | 199 (88.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 44 (18.0) | 26 (11.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 187 (76.6) | 181 (80.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (71.3, 81.9) | (75.3, 85.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9527 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8667, 1.0472) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3154 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >=2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (05:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_3

Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: ASIAN | Responders, n (\%) | 47 (82.5) | 63 (78.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.6, 92.3) | (69.8, 87.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0471 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8876, 1.2352) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5854 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 6 (100.0) | 6 (75.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (54.1, 100.0) | (45.0, 100.0) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 14SEP2021 (05:07)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_3

Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 153 | 173 |
|  | Week 26, N | 153 | 173 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 122 (79.7) | 149 (86.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (20.3) | 24 (13.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 114 (74.5) | 134 (77.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.6, 81.4) | (71.2, 83.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9620 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8509, 1.0875) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5355 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 142 | 125 |
|  | Week 26, N | 142 | 125 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 123 (86.6) | 115 (92.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 19 (13.4) | 10 (8.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 113 (79.6) | 105 (84.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.9, 86.2) | (77.6, 90.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9474 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8460, 1.0608) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3487 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 16 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 16 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (87.5) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (12.5) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (81.3) | 16 (84.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.1, 100.0) | (67.8, 100.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9648 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7109, 1.3096) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8184 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 16 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 16 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 13 (81.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 3 (18.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 13 (72.2) | 10 (62.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (51.5, 92.9) | (38.8, 86.2) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 190 | 194 |
|  | Week 26, N | 190 | 194 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 156 (82.1) | 171 (88.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 34 (17.9) | 23 (11.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 139 (73.2) | 150 (77.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (66.9, 79.5) | (71.4, 83.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9462 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8434, 1.0615) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3457 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 139 | 139 |
|  | Week 26, N | 139 | 139 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 117 (84.2) | 124 (89.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (15.8) | 15 (10.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 114 (82.0) | 115 (82.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (75.6, 88.4) | (76.5, 89.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9913 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8891, 1.1052) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8749 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5640 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
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Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 39 | 47 |
|  | Week 26, N | 39 | 47 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 31 (79.5) | 40 (85.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.5) | 7 (14.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 28 (71.8) | 35 (74.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (57.7, 85.9) | (62.0, 86.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9641 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7446, 1.2482) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7815 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 290 | 286 |
|  | Week 26, N | 290 | 286 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 242 (83.4) | 255 (89.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 48 (16.6) | 31 (10.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 225 (77.6) | 230 (80.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.8, 82.4) | (75.8, 85.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9648 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8868, 1.0496) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4040 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9960 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 123 | 125 |
|  | Week 26, N | 123 | 125 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 97 (78.9) | 110 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 26 (21.1) | 15 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 91 (74.0) | 102 (81.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (66.2, 81.7) | (74.8, 88.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9067 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7931, 1.0365) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1513 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

\left.|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg | Dupilumab 300mg |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Q2W |  |  |  |$\right]$

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 198 | 198 |
|  | Week 26, N | 198 | 198 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 165 (83.3) | 174 (87.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 33 (16.7) | 24 (12.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 147 (74.2) | 159 (80.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.2, 80.3) | (74.8, 85.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9245 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8306, 1.0291) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1513 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Page 23 of 32
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 157 | 163 |
|  | Week 26, N | 157 | 163 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 126 (80.3) | 143 (87.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 31 (19.7) | 20 (12.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 112 (71.3) | 123 (75.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (64.3, 78.4) | (68.9, 82.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9454 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8282, 1.0791) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.4051 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 165 | 163 |
|  | Week 26, N | 165 | 163 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 142 (86.1) | 146 (89.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (13.9) | 17 (10.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 136 (82.4) | 137 (84.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (76.6, 88.2) | (78.4, 89.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9807 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8899, 1.0807) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6937 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6615 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 101 | 121 |
|  | Week 26, N | 101 | 121 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 81 (80.2) | 109 (90.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (19.8) | 12 (9.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 72 (71.3) | 94 (77.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.5, 80.1) | (70.3, 85.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9176 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7848, 1.0729) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2812 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Responders, n (\%) | 83 (80.6) | 85 (82.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.9, 88.2) | (75.2, 89.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9765 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8575, 1.1120) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.7195 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7363 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 159 | 159 |
|  | Week 26, N | 159 | 159 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 130 (81.8) | 144 (90.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 29 (18.2) | 15 (9.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 120 (75.5) | 128 (80.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.8, 82.2) | (74.3, 86.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9375 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8339, 1.0539) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2799 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 168 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 168 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 141 (83.9) | 151 (86.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (16.1) | 23 (13.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 131 (78.0) | 137 (78.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (71.7, 84.2) | (72.7, 84.8) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9904 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8859, 1.1071) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8647 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.5060 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
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Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 68 | 88 |
|  | Week 26, N | 68 | 88 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 59 (86.8) | 79 (89.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 9 (13.2) | 9 (10.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 52 (76.5) | 71 (80.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (66.4, 86.6) | (72.4, 88.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9478 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8022, 1.1199) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5288 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.3.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Sleep Loss >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 258 | 245 |
|  | Week 26, N | 258 | 245 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 212 (82.2) | 216 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 46 (17.8) | 29 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 199 (77.1) | 194 (79.2) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.0, 82.3) | (74.1, 84.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9741 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8881, 1.0684) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.5776 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7786 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <40 | Baseline, N | 230 | 247 |
|  | Week 26, N | 230 | 247 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 183 (79.6) | 219 (88.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 47 (20.4) | 28 (11.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 162 (70.4) | 196 (79.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (64.5, 76.3) | (74.3, 84.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8876 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7990, 0.9860) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0263 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Page 2 of 32
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age (years) group: >=40 | Baseline, N | 132 | 118 |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 118 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | $117(88.6)$ | $104(88.1)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | $15(11.4)$ | $14(11.9)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, <br> N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | $111(84.1)$ | $98(83.1)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% CI | $(77.9,90.3)$ | $(76.3,89.8)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% CI | 1.0125 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | $(0.9068,1.1305)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: <65 | Baseline, N | 341 | 354 |
|  | Week 26, N | 341 | 354 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 283 (83.0) | 313 (88.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 58 (17.0) | 41 (11.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 257 (75.4) | 285 (80.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (70.8, 79.9) | (76.4, 84.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9361 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8647, 1.0135) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1034 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg <br> QD | Dupilumab 300mg <br> Q2W |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) group: >=65 | Baseline, N | 21 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Week 26, N | 21 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | $17(81.0)$ | $10(90.9)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | $4(19.0)$ | $1(9.1)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, <br> N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | $16(76.2)$ | $9(81.8)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% CI | $(58.0,94.4)$ | $(59.0,100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% CI | 0.9312 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | $(0.6451,1.3443)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); NRI = non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: WHITE | Baseline, N | 269 | 248 |
|  | Week 26, N | 269 | 248 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 220 (81.8) | 219 (88.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (18.2) | 29 (11.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 202 (75.1) | 204 (82.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (69.9, 80.3) | (77.5, 87.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9129 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8344, 0.9987) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0469 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race: ASIAN | Responders, n (\%) | 48 (77.4) | 67 (80.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (67.0, 87.8) | (72.2, 89.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9591 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8086, 1.1375) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6313 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Race: OTHER | Baseline, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Week 26, N | 6 | 8 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 8 (100.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 6 (100.0) | 6 (75.0) |
|  | 95\% CI | (54.1, 100.0) | (45.0, 100.0) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category)
are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Baseline, N | 177 | 195 |
|  | Week 26, N | 177 | 195 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 141 (79.7) | 168 (86.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 36 (20.3) | 27 (13.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 132 (74.6) | 153 (78.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.2, 81.0) | (72.7, 84.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9505 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8488, 1.0644) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3791 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Baseline, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Week 26, N | 150 | 132 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 130 (86.7) | 122 (92.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 20 (13.3) | 10 (7.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 115 (76.7) | 110 (83.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (69.9, 83.4) | (77.0, 89.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9200 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8187, 1.0339) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1613 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Baseline, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 17 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 15 (88.2) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 2 (11.8) | 1 (5.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Responders, n (\%) | 12 (70.6) | 18 (94.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (48.9, 92.2) | (84.7, 100.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.7451 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.5386, 1.0309) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0757 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Baseline, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Week 26, N | 18 | 19 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 15 (78.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 4 (22.2) | 4 (21.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 14 (77.8) | 13 (68.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (58.6, 97.0) | (47.5, 89.3) |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Baseline, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Week 26, N | 216 | 220 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 176 (81.5) | 194 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 40 (18.5) | 26 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 155 (71.8) | 174 (79.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.8, 77.8) | (73.7, 84.5) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9073 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8146, 1.0105) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0769 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Baseline, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 146 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 124 (84.9) | 129 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 22 (15.1) | 16 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 118 (80.8) | 120 (82.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (74.4, 87.2) | (76.6, 88.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9766 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8762, 1.0885) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6687 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3454 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | Baseline, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Week 26, N | 40 | 51 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 32 (80.0) | 44 (86.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 8 (20.0) | 7 (13.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 25 (62.5) | 40 (78.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (47.5, 77.5) | (67.1, 89.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.7969 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.6023, 1.0542) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1118 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | Baseline, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Week 26, N | 322 | 314 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 268 (83.2) | 279 (88.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 54 (16.8) | 35 (11.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 248 (77.0) | 254 (80.9) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.4, 81.6) | (76.5, 85.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9521 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8786, 1.0317) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2311 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.2309 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg): <70 | Baseline, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Week 26, N | 132 | 136 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 105 (79.5) | 121 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 27 (20.5) | 15 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 96 (72.7) | 111 (81.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.1, 80.3) | (75.1, 88.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8911 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7813, 1.0162) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0855 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight (kg) : >100 | Responders, n (\%) | 26 (76.5) | 34 (75.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.2, 90.7) | (63.0, 88.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 1.0121 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7884, 1.2993) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.9247 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.6038 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: <26 | Baseline, N | 222 | 220 |
|  | Week 26, N | 222 | 220 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 184 (82.9) | 194 (88.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 38 (17.1) | 26 (11.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 165 (74.3) | 175 (79.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.6, 80.1) | (74.2, 84.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9344 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8435, 1.0350) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1934 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)
AD Duration

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | Baseline, N | 140 | 145 |
|  | Week 26, N | 140 | 145 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 116 (82.9) | 129 (89.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (17.1) | 16 (11.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 108 (77.1) | 119 (82.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (70.2, 84.1) | (75.8, 88.3) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9400 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8354, 1.0577) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.3038 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.9401 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Baseline, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Week 26, N | 181 | 183 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 144 (79.6) | 160 (87.4) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 37 (20.4) | 23 (12.6) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 127 (70.2) | 142 (77.6) |
|  | 95\% CI | (63.5, 76.8) | (71.6, 83.6) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9042 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7997, 1.0224) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.1082 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Baseline, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 174 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 149 (86.6) | 156 (89.7) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 23 (13.4) | 18 (10.3) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 140 (81.4) | 145 (83.3) |
|  | 95\% CI | (75.6, 87.2) | (77.8, 88.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9767 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8859, 1.0769) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6365 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.3352 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
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Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: 10-30 | Baseline, N | 122 | 133 |
|  | Week 26, N | 122 | 133 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 98 (80.3) | 120 (90.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 24 (19.7) | 13 (9.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 86 (70.5) | 110 (82.7) |
|  | 95\% CI | (62.4, 78.6) | (76.3, 89.1) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8523 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7420, 0.9791) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0239 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline \% BSA group: >50 | Responders, n (\%) | 85 (78.7) | 90 (81.1) |
|  | 95\% CI | (71.0, 86.4) | (73.8, 88.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9707 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8498, 1.1088) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.6611 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.2423 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Baseline, N | 172 | 176 |
|  | Week 26, N | 172 | 176 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 140 (81.4) | 160 (90.9) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 32 (18.6) | 16 (9.1) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 124 (72.1) | 145 (82.4) |
|  | 95\% CI | (65.4, 78.8) | (76.8, 88.0) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.8751 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7797, 0.9821) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.0234 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Baseline, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Week 26, N | 188 | 189 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 158 (84.0) | 163 (86.2) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 30 (16.0) | 26 (13.8) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 147 (78.2) | 149 (78.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (72.3, 84.1) | (73.0, 84.7) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9918 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8924, 1.1023) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.8789 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.1165 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Baseline, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Week 26, N | 83 | 105 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 73 (88.0) | 94 (89.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 10 (12.0) | 11 (10.5) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 64 (77.1) | 88 (83.8) |
|  | 95\% CI | (68.1, 86.1) | (76.8, 90.9) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9200 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.7965, 1.0628) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2575 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.2.13.9.9 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects Achieving SCORAD (VAS) of Pruritus >= 2 Points Improvement from Baseline at Week 26 by Subgroup - (FAS with Baseline >= 2, Main Analysis)
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Baseline, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Week 26, N | 274 | 259 |
|  | Number of Subjects with observed Case, N1 (\%) | 225 (82.1) | 228 (88.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects with NRI, N2 (\%) | 49 (17.9) | 31 (12.0) |
|  | Number of Subjects Missing Cases without NRI, N3 (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Responders, n (\%) | 207 (75.5) | 206 (79.5) |
|  | 95\% CI | (70.5, 80.6) | (74.6, 84.4) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Abrocitinib vs Dupilumab Response Ratio |  |  |
|  | Estimate | 0.9498 |  |
|  | 95\% CI | (0.8669, 1.0408) |  |
|  | Two-sided P-value | 0.2698 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | P -value of interaction | 0.7145 |  |

Full Analysis Set (FAS) was defined as all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication.
Baseline was defined as the measurement collected on or prior to Day 1.
$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{CMH}=$ Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; SCORAD = scoring atopic dermatitis; VAS $=$ visual analogue scale; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects in the analysis set at the specified visit; $\mathrm{n}(\%)=$ number of subjects with response (percentage based on N ); $\mathrm{NRI}=$ non-responder imputation.
CI for the response rate was based on normal approximation (or the Clopper-Pearson exact method when there were 0 or $100 \%$ responders); Response ratio was calculated using wald method without stratification.
A log regression model was used to provide two sided Wald p-value for testing subgroup and treatment interaction. 0.5 was added to the empty cells.
P-value of interaction was based on a type 3 Wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment * subgroup category) are zero.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 09AUG2021 (01:16) Source Data: adda Table Generation: 09SEP2021 (22:39)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adda_mk4_4

Table 14.3.1.5.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

a. Results calculated with normal approximation.

MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 23SEP2021 (23:43)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_prop_2_e

Table 14.3.1.5.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  |  |  |
| Overall | Overall | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 1.7) | 5 ( 1.4) |
|  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.34, 2.97) | $(0.18,2.56)$ |
|  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.37, 3.93) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.7512 |  |
|  |  | Odds Ratio (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.37, 4.01) |  |
|  |  | P-value | 0.7511 |  |
|  |  | Risk Difference\% (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.29 (-1.49, 2.06) |  |
|  |  | P-value | 0.7509 |  |

a. Results calculated with normal approximation.

MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 23SEP2021 (23:43)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_prop_5_e

Table 14.3.1.5.3.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  |  |  |
| Overall | Overall | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 2.8) | 8 ( 2.2) |
|  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.07, 4.45) | $(0.69,3.69)$ |
|  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.26 (0.50, 3.16) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.6214 |  |
|  |  | Odds Ratio (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.27 (0.49, 3.25) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.6213 |  |
|  |  | Risk Difference\% (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.57 (-1.69, 2.83) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.6206 |  |

a. Results calculated with normal approximation.

MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 23SEP2021 (23:43)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_prop_6_e

Table 14.3.1.5.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

|  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  |  |  |
| Overall | Overall | N | 362 | 365 |
|  |  | n (\%) | 267 ( 73.8) | 237 ( 64.9) |
|  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (69.22, 78.29) | (60.04, 69.83) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.14 (1.03, 1.25) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.0102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Odds Ratio (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.52 (1.10, 2.09) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.0101 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Risk Difference\% (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.83 (2.15, 15.50) |  |
|  |  | P -value | 0.0095 |  |

a. Results calculated with normal approximation.

MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 23SEP2021 (23:43)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_prop_10

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 201 ( 74.7) | 168 ( 67.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (69.53, 79.91) | (61.92, 73.56) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.99, 1.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0819 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 16 ( 64.0) | 13 ( 50.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (45.18, 82.82) | (30.78, 69.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.28 (0.79, 2.08) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3174 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 45 ( 72.6) | 53 ( 63.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (61.48, 83.68) | (53.52, 74.19) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.14 (0.91, 1.42) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2597 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: Other | Overall | Overall | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 (100.0) | 5 ( 62.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (54.07, 100.00) | (28.95, 96.05) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.48 (0.83, 2.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1882 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8236 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 99 ( 75.0) | 91 ( 66.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (67.61, 82.39) | (59.00, 74.82) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.12 (0.96, 1.31) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1460 |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 143 ( 73.0) | 116 ( 63.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (66.74, 79.18) | (56.07, 70.02) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.16 (1.01, 1.33) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0404 |  |
| Weight(kg): >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 26 ( 76.5) | 32 ( 71.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (62.21, 90.73) | (57.87, 84.35) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.08 (0.83, 1.40) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5889 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9647 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_1

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: Other | Overall | Overall | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 83.3) | 5 ( 62.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (53.51, 100.00) | (28.95, 96.05) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.33 (0.70, 2.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3821 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9382 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Region


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Region


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=362)}{\text { Abrocitini 200 }}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred <br> Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 97 ( 73.5) | 90 ( 66.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (65.95, 81.02) | (58.23, 74.13) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.11 (0.95, 1.30) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1936 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 141 ( 71.9) | 115 ( 62.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (65.65, 78.23) | (55.50, 69.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.15 (1.00, 1.33) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0523 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight(kg): >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 26 ( 76.5) | 32 ( 71.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (62.21, 90.73) | (57.87, 84.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.08 (0.83, 1.40) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5889 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9681 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Overall | Overall | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 136 ( 75.1) | 128 ( 69.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (68.84, 81.43) | (63.30, 76.59) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.95, 1.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.2678 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 120 ( 69.8) | 102 ( 58.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (62.90, 76.63) | (51.30, 65.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.19 (1.02, 1.40) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0318 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5823 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 9 | 8 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=362)}{\text { Abrocinit }} \mathbf{2 0 0 \mathrm { mg }} \text { QD }$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | Overall | Overall | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 87 ( 71.3) | 92 ( 69.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (63.29, 79.34) | (61.32, 77.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.88, 1.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7088 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \%BSA group: >30-50 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 96 ( 72.7) | 80 ( 66.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (65.13, 80.32) | (57.68, 74.55) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.93, 1.30) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2572 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline \%BSA group: >50 | Overall | Overall | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 81 ( 75.0) | 65 ( 58.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CIa | $(66.83,83.17)$ | (49.39, 67.72) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.28 (1.06, 1.55) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0110 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2884 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD (N=362) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 129 ( 75.0) | 117 ( 66.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (68.53, 81.47) | (59.50, 73.45) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.13 (0.98, 1.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0818 |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Overall | Overall | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 134 ( 71.3) | 120 ( 63.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (64.81, 77.74) | (56.63, 70.36) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.12 (0.97, 1.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1083 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8128 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 2 | 0 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.1.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abrocitinib 200mg QD } \\ & (\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 6 2}) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }^{\text {Q }} \text {, }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Overall | Overall | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 64 ( 77.1) | 71 ( 67.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CIa | (68.07, 86.15) | (58.67, 76.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.14 (0.96, 1.36) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1455 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Overall | Overall | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 197 ( 71.9) | 165 ( 63.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (66.58, 77.22) | (57.85, 69.56) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.13 (1.00, 1.27) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0446 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7423 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 5 | 1 |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_2_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | Overall | Overall | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 4.1) | 3 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.58, 7.62) | (0.00, 4.78) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.82 (0.44, 7.44) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4065 |  |
| Baseline \%BSA group: >30-50 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 0.8) | 3 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.24) | (0.00, 5.25) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.31 (0.03, 2.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3016 |  |
| Baseline \%BSA group: >50 | Overall | Overall | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4082 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Region


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 6 2})}{\text { Abrocitinib 200mg QD }}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.00, 3.49) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.55 (0.26, 9.10) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6304 |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.5) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.25) | (0.00, 2.59) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.41 (0.24, 8.33) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7059 |  |
| Weight(kg): >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | $(0.00,6.53)$ |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.65 (0.02, 18.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8034 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8996 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.2.1 Abrocitinib
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abrocitinib 200mg QD } \\ & (\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 6 2}) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }^{\text {Q }} \text {, }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA Preferred Term |  | $n$ (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Overall | Overall | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 2.4) | 3 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(0.00,5.71)$ | (0.00, 6.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.84 (0.14, 4.93) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Overall | Overall | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 1.5) | 2 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.04, 2.88) | (0.00, 1.84) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.89 (0.35, 10.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4599 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6534 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 5 | 1 |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero,
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_5_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Age (years) group: <40 | Overall | Overall | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.6) | 6 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.55, 4.67) | (0.51, 4.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.35, 3.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9004 |  |
| Age (years) group: >=40 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 4.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.23 (0.44, 11.30) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3309 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4442 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.3) | 6 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.20, 5.49) | (0.51, 4.33) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.38 (0.50, 3.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5327 |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 1.6) | 2 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.75) | (0.00, 5.71) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 (0.06, 7.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7407 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
Protocol B7451050)
Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD (N=362) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Overall | Overall | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8432 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Weight


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 4.7) | 4 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.50, 7.80) | (0.07, 4.47) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.05 (0.63, 6.67) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2349 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Overall | Overall | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.6) | 4 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.39) | (0.06, 4.17) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.75 (0.17, 3.32) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7091 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2278 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 2 | 0 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Region


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 6 2})}{\text { Abrocitinib 200mg QD }}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred <br> Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.5) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.99, 8.10) | (0.10, 5.78) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.55 (0.45, 5.35) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4922 |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.0) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.06, 4.02) | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.76 (0.42, 33.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2347 |  |
| Weight(kg): >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 3 ( 6.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | (0.00, 13.95) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.22 (0.01, 4.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3124 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3166 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred <br> Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Overall | Overall | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.3) | 4 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.71, 5.92) | (0.07, 4.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.52 (0.44, 5.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5132 |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.3) | 4 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.58) | (0.07, 4.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.01 (0.26, 3.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9868 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6393 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 9 | 8 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.3.1 Abrocitinib
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (Excluding Adverse Events Related to AD, All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_6_e

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | Overall | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 129 ( 56.1) | 87 ( 35.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (49.67, 62.50) | (29.27, 41.18) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.59 (1.30, 1.95) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 68 ( 51.5) | 42 ( 35.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (42.99, 60.04) | (26.95, 44.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.45 (1.08, 1.94) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0136 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4642 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | Overall | Age (years) group: <br> <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 53 ( 23.0) | 7 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (17.60, 28.49) | (0.76, 4.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group ( $<40,>=40$ )

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.13 (3.77, 17.52) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 12.9) | 4 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.16, 18.59) | (0.12, 6.66) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.80 (1.32, 10.97) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0136 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0148 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nausea | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 53 ( 23.0) | 5 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (17.60, 28.49) | (0.27, 3.78) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.38 (4.63, 27.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 12.9) | 3 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.16, 18.59) | (0.00, 5.38) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.07 (1.52, 16.85) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0082 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0132 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vomiting | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.9) | 4 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.41, 6.42) | (0.05, 3.19) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.42 (0.75, 7.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1374 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | (0.00, 4.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.89 (0.13, 6.25) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2587 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | Overall | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.3) | 3 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.77) | (0.00, 2.58) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.22, 5.27) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9300 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 5.3) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.48, 9.13) | (0.00, 4.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.13 (0.66, 14.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1497 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1610 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fatigue | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.3) | 3 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.77) | (0.00, 2.58) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group ( $<40,>=40$ )

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.22, 5.27) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9300 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 5.3) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.48,9.13)$ | (0.00, 4.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.13 (0.66, 14.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1497 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1610 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 44 ( 19.1) | 48 ( 19.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.05, 24.21) | (14.50, 24.37) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.98 (0.68, 1.42) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9333 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 18.2) | 28 ( 23.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.60, 24.76) | (16.05, 31.40) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.77 (0.47, 1.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2823 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4034 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COVID-19 | Age (years) group: $\mid<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.9) | 9 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.41, 6.42) | (1.31, 5.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.43, 2.66) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8774 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.5) | 3 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.99, 8.10) | (0.00, 5.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.79 (0.46, 6.99) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4036 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5518 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 1.7) | 20 ( 8.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.05, 3.43) | (4.70, 11.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.21 (0.07, 0.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0044 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.0) | 15 ( 12.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.11, 5.95) | (6.70, 18.72) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.24 (0.08, 0.70) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0089 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3803 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.0) | $2(0.8)$ |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.82, 5.26) | (0.00, 1.93) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.76 (0.79, 17.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0965 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 1 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 2.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.47 (0.53, 37.71) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1688 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7526 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.9) | 3 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.41, 6.42) | (0.00, 2.58) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.22 (0.88, 11.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0764 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.00, 4.02) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.23, 7.89) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7456 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3522 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Age (years) group: $\mid<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 4.8) | 10 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.02, 7.54) | (1.59, 6.51) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.18 (0.51, 2.73) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6965 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.00, 4.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.23, 7.89) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7456 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9467 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.0) | 7 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.82, 5.26) | (0.76, 4.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.38, 3.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8923 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 8 ( 6.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | (2.24, 11.32) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.22 (0.05, 1.03) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0548 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0692 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.6) | 7 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.55, 4.67) | (0.76, 4.90) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group ( $<40,>=40$ )

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.92 (0.31, 2.70) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.0) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.11, 5.95) | (0.00, 4.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.79 (0.33, 9.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.4976 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5198 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations | Overall | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 22 ( 9.6) | 19 ( 7.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.76,13.37)$ | (4.37, 11.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.24 (0.69, 2.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4668 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 16 ( 12.1) | 7 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.55, 17.69) | (1.67, 10.19) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.04 (0.87, 4.79) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1005 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3293 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Age (years) group: $<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.2) | 10 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% Cl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.29, 4.06) | $(1.59,6.51)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.54 (0.19, 1.55) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2495 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 6.8) | 3 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.52, 11.12) | (0.00, 5.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.68 (0.74, 9.67) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1318 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0468 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Age (years) group: $<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.82, 5.26) | (0.00, 1.48) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15.07 (0.86, 263.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0634 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.00, 3.08) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.39 (0.27, 106.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2687 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5919 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Age (years) group: $<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 4.3) | 9 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.71, 6.98) | (1.31, 5.98) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group ( $<40,>=40$ )



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Headache | Age (years) group: $<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 12.2) | 17 ( 6.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.95, 16.40) | $(3.73,10.04)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.77 (1.00, 3.14) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0520 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=40$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 19 ( 14.4) | 7 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.41, 20.38) | $(1.67,10.19)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.43 (1.06, 5.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0364 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4922 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Age (years) group: $<40$ | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 47 ( 20.4) | 19 ( 7.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.22, 25.65) | (4.37, 11.02) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=362)}{\text { Abrocitinib 200mg QD }}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.66 (1.61, 4.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 14 ( 10.6) | 4 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.35,15.86)$ | (0.12, 6.66) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.13 (1.06, 9.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0390 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1683 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Age (years) group: <40 | N | 230 | 247 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 33 ( 14.3) | 10 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% Cr ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(9.82,18.88)$ | (1.59, 6.51) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.54 (1.79, 7.03) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{>=40}{\text { Age (years) group: }}$ | N | 132 | 118 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 9.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.77, 14.93) | (0.00, 3.08) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group ( $<40,>=40$ )



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.42 (3.45, 11.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 9.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 22.08) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.19 (0.11, 44.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.6102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1717 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nausea | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 68 ( 19.9) | 8 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.70, 24.18) | (0.71, 3.81) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.82 (4.31, 18.08) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | $<.0001$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 9.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 22.08) | (0.00, 28.49) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.19 (0.11, 44.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1452 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vomiting | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 2.9) | 6 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.14, 4.72) | (0.35, 3.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.73 (0.64, 4.71) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2831 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9175 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | Overall | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 2.3) | 5 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.74, 3.95) | (0.18, 2.64) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.66 (0.55, 5.03) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3692 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 9.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 22.08) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.19 (0.11, 44.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6283 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fatigue | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 2.3) | 5 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.74, 3.95) | (0.18, 2.64) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.66 (0.55, 5.03) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3692 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 9.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 22.08) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.19 (0.11, 44.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6283 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 64 ( 18.8) | 76 ( 21.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.62, 22.91) | (17.19, 25.75) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.87 (0.65, 1.18) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.3756 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 19.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.25, 35.84) | (0.00, 28.49) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.38 (0.25, 75.79) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3098 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1194 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COVID-19 | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 14 ( 4.1) | 12 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.00, 6.21) | (1.50, 5.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.57, 2.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6197 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9695 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD (N=362) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 2.3) | 35 ( 9.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.74, 3.95) | (6.78, 13.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.24 (0.11, 0.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 3.2) | 3 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.35, 5.10) | (0.00, 1.80) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.81 (1.07, 13.53) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0388 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8040 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 3.2) | 5 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.35,5.10)$ | $(0.18,2.64)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.28 (0.80, 6.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1220 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8596 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 3.8) | 12 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.78,5.84)$ | (1.50, 5.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.12 (0.52, 2.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7651 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9996 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD (N=362) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 2.6) | 15 ( 4.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.94, 4.34) | (2.14, 6.34) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 (0.28, 1.40) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2537 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 2.9) | 9 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.14, 4.72) | (0.90, 4.18) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.15 (0.47, 2.80) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7527 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations | Overall | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 37 ( 10.9) | 26 ( 7.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.55, 14.15) | $(4.63,10.06)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.48 (0.92, 2.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1103 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6806 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 3.8) | 13 ( 3.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.78,5.84)$ | (1.71, 5.63) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.04 (0.49, 2.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9225 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.87) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.04, 30.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.9571 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9716 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 2.9) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.14, 4.72) | (0.00, 1.04) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20.79 (1.22, 354.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0360 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 15 ( 4.4) | 13 ( 3.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% Cl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.22, 6.58) | (1.71, 5.63) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.20 (0.58, 2.48) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6268 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 52 ( 15.2) | 27 ( 7.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.43, 19.06) | (4.86, 10.39) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.00 (1.29, 3.11) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0021 |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 14.3) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 29.25) | (0.00, 28.49) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.29 (0.18, 60.09) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.4224 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8339 |  |
|  | Dizziness | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 2.6) | 4 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.94, 4.34) | (0.03, 2.23) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.78 (2.45, 9.31) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Age (years) group: <65 | N | 341 | 354 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 5.0) | 14 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.68, 7.30 ) | (1.92, 5.99) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.26 (0.63, 2.52) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5116 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age (years) group: $>=65$ | N | 21 | 11 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | Overall | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 100 ( 51.8) | 68 ( 33.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (44.76, 58.86) | (26.86, 39.80) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.55 (1.23, 1.97) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 97 ( 57.4) | 61 ( 37.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (49.94, 64.85) | (30.39, 45.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.51 (1.20, 1.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7096 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | Overall | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 21 ( 10.9) | 4 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.49, 15.27) | (0.06, 3.86) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.55 (1.94, 15.87) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0014 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

## Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathbf{N}=362)}{\text { Abrocitinib 200 }} \mathbf{~ Q D}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Vomiting | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.0) | 3 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.46) | (0.00, 3.12) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.70 (0.12, 4.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6997 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 5.3) | 3 ( 1.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.94, 8.71) | (0.00, 3.95) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.86 (0.79, 10.37) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0936 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General disorders and administration site | Overall | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.6) | 3 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.30) | (0.00, 3.12) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.22, 5.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9455 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

## Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 40 ( 20.7) | 41 ( 20.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.01, 26.44) | (14.60, 25.60) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.70, 1.52) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8768 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 16.6) | 35 ( 21.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.96, 22.17) | (15.37, 28.11) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.76 (0.49, 1.19) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.2343 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3320 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COVID-19 | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.6) | 5 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.99, 6.26) | (0.33, 4.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.48 (0.48, 4.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4969 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

## Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Folliculitis | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 5.2) | 2 ( 1.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.05, 8.31) | (0.00, 2.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.28 (1.17, 23.81) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0302 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.2) | 1 ( 0.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.81) | (0.00, 1.83) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.91 (0.17, 20.81) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5972 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0729 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 4.1) | 2 ( 1.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.33, 6.96) | (0.00, 2.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.23 (0.91, 19.66) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0660 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathbf{N}=362)}{\text { Abrocitinib 200 }} \mathbf{~ Q D}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.4) | 3 ( 1.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% Cla | (0.07, 4.66) | (0.00, 3.95) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.27 (0.29, 5.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7516 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2368 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.6) | 7 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.99, 6.26) | (0.93, 5.93) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.38, 2.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9159 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 4.1) | 5 ( 3.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.14,7.15)$ | (0.43, 5.79) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.33 (0.43, 4.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6165 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7611 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.6) | 7 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.30) | (0.93, 5.93) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.45 (0.12, 1.73) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2461 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.6) | 8 ( 5.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.76, 6.34) | (1.61, 8.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.71 (0.25, 2.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5249 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8754 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.1) | 3 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.66, 5.56) | (0.00, 3.12) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.11 (0.54, 8.33) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2849 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

## Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.7) | 7 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.69, 7.64) | (0.93, 5.93) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.36 (0.52, 3.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5345 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.0) | 6 ( 3.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.51) | (0.80, 6.65) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.79 (0.25, 2.55) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6983 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4755 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.35, 4.83) | (0.00, 1.79) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.60 (0.58, 192.65) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1107 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.51) | (0.00, 2.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.56 (0.53, 173.52) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1270 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8670 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.6) | 5 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.99, 6.26) | (0.33, 4.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.48 (0.48, 4.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4969 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 4.7) | 8 ( 5.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.53, 7.94) | (1.61, 8.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.95 (0.37, 2.48) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9208 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6334 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 14.5) | 12 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.54, 19.48) | $(2.65,9.11)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.47 (1.29, 4.71) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0062 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 27 ( 16.0) | 15 ( 9.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.45, 21.50) | $(4.83,13.81)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.71 (0.95, 3.10) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0747 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7148 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dizziness | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.1) | 2 ( 1.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.06, 4.08) | (0.00, 2.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.11 (0.39, 11.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3842 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.6) | 2 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% Cl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.76, 6.34) | (0.00, 2.95) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.86 (0.59, 13.95) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1943 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5557 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Headache | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 12.4) | 10 ( 4.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.78, 17.09) | (1.94, 7.86) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.54 (1.25, 5.16) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0103 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 23 ( 13.6) | 14 ( 8.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.44, 18.78) | (4.34, 13.05) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.57 (0.83, 2.93) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1623 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5872 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 34 ( 17.6) | 12 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (12.24, 22.99) | $(2.65,9.11)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.99 (1.60, 5.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sex: <br> Female | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 27 ( 16.0) | 11 ( 6.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.45, 21.50) | $(2.94,10.73)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.34 (1.20, 4.56) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0126 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5853 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Sex: Male | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 12.4) | 4 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.78, 17.09) | (0.06, 3.86) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.34 (2.24, 17.94) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0005 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Overall | Overall | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 148 ( 55.0) | 98 ( 39.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (49.07, 60.96) | (33.43, 45.60) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.39 (1.15, 1.68) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 44.0) | 5 ( 19.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (24.54, 63.46) | (4.08, 34.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.29 (0.93, 5.65) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0725 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 33 ( 53.2) | 23 ( 27.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (40.81, 65.65) | (18.08, 37.34) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.92 (1.26, 2.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0022 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 83.3) | 3 ( 37.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (53.51, 100.00) | (3.95, 71.05) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.22 (0.85, 5.82) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1043 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6277 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | Overall | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 53 ( 19.7) | 10 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.95, 24.46) | $(1.58,6.48)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.89 (2.54, 9.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 16.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.63,30.37)$ | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.48 (0.47, 152.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1469 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 12 ( 19.4) | 1 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.52, 29.19) | (0.00, 3.55) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16.06 (2.15, 120.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0069 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 16.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 46.49) | (0.00, 36.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.83 (0.11, 71.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5274 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9617 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nausea | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 53 ( 19.7) | 8 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.95, 24.46) | (1.03, 5.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.11 (2.96, 12.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | $<.0001$ |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 16.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.63,30.37)$ | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.48 (0.47, 152.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1469 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 12 ( 19.4) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.52, 29.19) | (0.00, 4.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $32.32(1.95,536.78)$ |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0153 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 16.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 46.49) | (0.00, 36.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.83 (0.11, 71.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5274 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9505 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Vomiting | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.3) | 5 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.20, 5.49) | (0.27, 3.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.66 (0.56, 4.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3578 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 1.6) | 1 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.75) | (0.00, 3.55) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.09, 20.99) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8354 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9776 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General disorders and administration site | Overall | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.3) | 5 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.20, 5.49) | (0.27, 3.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.66 (0.56, 4.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3578 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 1.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.75) | (0.00, 4.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.69 (0.09, 79.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5654 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9911 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fatigue | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.3) | 5 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.20, 5.49) | (0.27, 3.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.66 (0.56, 4.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3578 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 1.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.75) | (0.00, 4.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.69 (0.09, 79.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5654 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9911 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 58 ( 21.6) | 62 ( 25.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (16.65, 26.48) | (19.61, 30.39) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.86 (0.63, 1.18) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3552 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 8.0) | 2 ( 7.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.63) | (0.00, 17.93) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.04 (0.16, 6.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9674 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 11.3) | 10 ( 12.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (3.41, 19.17) | $(5.05,19.05)$ |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.94 (0.38, 2.32) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8885 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 16.7) | 2 ( 25.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 46.49) | (0.00, 55.01) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 (0.08, 5.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7122 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9309 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COVID-19 | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 4.8) | 10 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.27, 7.40) | $(1.58,6.48)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.20 (0.54, 2.68) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6597 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 2 ( 7.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 17.93) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.52 (0.05, 5.38) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5834 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 1.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.75) | (0.00, 4.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.69 (0.09, 79.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5654 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9234 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.2) | 30 ( 12.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.47, 4.00) | (8.04, 16.16) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.18 (0.08, 0.44) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0001 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 3.2) | 4 ( 4.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.62) | (0.21, 9.43) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 (0.13, 3.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6366 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 12.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 45.93) | (0.00, 35.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 (0.02, 15.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7685 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0592 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 3.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.46, 5.98) | (0.00, 1.48) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 18.48 (1.08, 314.66) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0438 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(0.00,13.72)$ | (0.00, 11.24) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.51 (0.02, 14.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6935 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 3.2) | 2 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.62) | (0.00, 5.71) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.19, 9.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7673 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6077 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Herpes simplex | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 4.1) | 4 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.72, 6.46) | (0.05, 3.18) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.54 (0.82, 7.86) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1070 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 12.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 45.93) | (0.00, 35.42) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 (0.02, 15.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7685 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6895 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 4.8) | 10 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.27, 7.40) | $(1.58,6.48)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.20 (0.54, 2.68) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6597 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 2 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 5.78) | (0.00, 5.71) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.33 (0.02, 7.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4831 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >=10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 16.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 46.49) | (0.00, 36.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.83 (0.11, 71.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5274 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7614 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oral herpes | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 3.0) | 13 ( 5.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.94, 5.00) | (2.47, 8.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.57 (0.24, 1.35) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1984 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 1.6) | 2 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.75) | (0.00, 5.71) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 (0.06, 7.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7407 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9125 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 3.0) | 8 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.94, 5.00) | (1.03, 5.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.92 (0.35, 2.42) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8688 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 3.2) | 1 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.62) | (0.00, 3.55) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
roportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 3.2) | 1 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.62) | (0.00, 3.55) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.68 (0.25, 28.87) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4169 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8968 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 3.3) | 9 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.20, 5.49) | (1.30, 5.96) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.92 (0.37, 2.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8607 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 12.0) | 3 ( 11.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 24.74) | (0.00, 23.82) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 14 ( 5.2) | 11 ( 4.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.55, 7.86) | (1.87, 7.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.17 (0.54, 2.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6843 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 2 ( 7.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 17.93) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.52 (0.05, 5.38) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5834 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9298 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 44 ( 16.4) | 20 ( 8.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.94, 20.78) | (4.68, 11.45) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.03 (1.23, 3.34) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0055 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 8.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.63) | (0.00, 13.23) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.24 (0.20, 89.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3533 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 14.5) | 7 ( 8.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (5.75, 23.28) | (2.46, 14.41) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.72 (0.68, 4.37) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2531 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9278 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dizziness | Race: White |  | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 8 ( 3.0) | 4 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.94, 5.00) | $(0.05,3.18)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.84 (0.56, 6.05) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3127 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 3.2) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.62) | (0.00, 4.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.39 (0.25, 117.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2841 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9469 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Headache | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 38 ( 14.1) | 17 ( 6.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.96, 18.29) | (3.71, 10.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.06 (1.19, 3.55) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0093 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 8.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.63) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.24 (0.20, 89.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3533 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 11.3) | 7 ( 8.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (3.41, 19.17) | (2.46, 14.41) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.50, 3.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5654 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8658 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 37 ( 13.8) | 15 ( 6.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.64, 17.87) | (3.08, 9.02) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.27 (1.28, 4.04) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0051 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 12.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 24.74) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.36 (0.34, 120.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.2180 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 27.4) | 6 ( 7.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (16.32, 38.52) | $(1.66,12.80)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.79 (1.59, 9.06) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0027 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 66.7) | 2 ( 25.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (28.95, 100.00) | (0.00, 55.01) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.67 (0.71, 10.05) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1474 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2054 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 26 ( 9.7) | 5 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.13, 13.20) | (0.27, 3.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.79 (1.87, 12.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0011 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 8.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.63) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.24 (0.20, 89.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3533 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 15 ( 24.2) | 4 ( 4.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (13.53, 34.85) | (0.21, 9.43) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.02 (1.75, 14.38) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0027 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 50.0) | 1 ( 12.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(9.99,90.01)$ | (0.00, 35.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.00 (0.54, 29.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1744 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1873 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Race: White | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 12 ( 4.5) | 11 ( 4.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.99,6.93)$ | (1.87, 7.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.01 (0.45, 2.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9888 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Black Or African American | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Asian | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 4.8) | 2 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.18) | (0.00, 5.71) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.01 (0.35, 11.66) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.4372 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race: Other | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 16.7) | 1 ( 12.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 46.49) | (0.00, 35.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.33 (0.10, 17.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8258 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9095 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 41 ( 27.3) | 36 ( 27.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (20.20, 34.47) | (19.68, 34.87) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 (0.68, 1.47) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9909 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 11.8) | 1 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 27.08) | (0.00, 15.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.24 (0.22, 22.51) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4948 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 5.6) | 1 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 16.14) | (0.00, 15.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.07, 15.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9686 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5531 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | COVID-19 | Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.3) | 4 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.45) | (0.06, 4.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.28, 4.34) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8899 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 7.3) | 7 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(3.16,11.51)$ | (1.48, 9.13) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.38 (0.55, 3.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4890 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.53) | (0.00, 15.30) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.98 (0.62, 6.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2461 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9031 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.1) | 4 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.69) | (0.06, 4.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.55 (0.10, 2.97) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4880 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 7.3) | 8 ( 6.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(3.16,11.51)$ | $(1.99,10.13)$ |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.50, 2.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6712 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 5.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 16.14) | (0.00, 17.65) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.17 (0.08, 60.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6494 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.8600$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oral herpes | Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | $1(0.6)$ | 5 ( 2.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 1.67) | (0.35, 4.78) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.22 (0.03, 1.87) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1654 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.10 (0.42, 2.87) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8430 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.7) | 4 ( 3.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.09, 5.24) | (0.11, 5.95) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.88 (0.22, 3.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8545 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 11.1) | 1 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 25.63) | (0.00, 15.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.11 (0.21, 21.32) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5265 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9243 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 6.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.67, 10.66) | (0.00, 2.76) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17.67 (1.04, 299.56) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0468 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.3) | 5 ( 2.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.45) | (0.35, 4.78) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.88 (0.24, 3.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8489 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 6.7) | 7 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}^{\text {a }}$ | (2.67, 10.66) | (1.48, 9.13) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.26 (0.49, 3.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6322 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 5.6) | 1 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(0.00,16.14)$ | (0.00, 15.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.07, 15.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9686 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9659 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Se

(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 21 ( 14.0) | 7 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(8.45,19.55)$ | (1.48, 9.13) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.64 (1.16, 6.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0208 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 29.4) | 2 ( 10.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.75, 51.07) | (0.00, 24.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.79 (0.62, 12.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1805 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 5.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 16.14) | (0.00, 17.65) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.17 (0.08, 60.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6494 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4840 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1633 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Region of enrollment: <br> US/Canada/Australia | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.8) | 8 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(0.38,5.27)$ | (1.32, 6.89) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.69 (0.23, 2.07) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5056 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Europe | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 6.0) | 6 ( 4.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.20, 9.80) | $(0.99,8.10)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.48, 3.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5886 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Asia | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 11.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 27.08) | (0.00, 17.65) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.59 (0.22, 94.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3244 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Region of enrollment: Latin America | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 5.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(0.00,16.14)$ | (0.00, 17.65) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.17 (0.08, 60.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6494 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5650 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.55 (3.02, 14.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 17.1) | 4 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.01, 23.23) | (0.09, 5.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.21 (2.22, 17.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0005 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4477 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nausea | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 45 ( 20.8) | 7 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.42, 26.25) | (0.86, 5.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.55 (3.02, 14.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 17.1) | 1 ( 0.7) |
|  |  |  | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {a }}$ | (11.01, 23.23) | (0.00, 2.04) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24.83 (3.41, 180.82) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0015 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7016 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vomiting | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 0.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.31, 4.32) | (0.00, 2.16) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.55 (0.50, 12.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2608 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.1) | 4 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.89, 7.33 ) | $(0.09,5.42)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.49 (0.43, 5.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5300 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9906 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=362 \text { ) }$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.4) | 23 ( 10.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.95) | (6.41, 14.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.13 (0.04, 0.44) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0009 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.4) | 12 ( 8.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.47, 6.37) | (3.79, 12.76) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.41 (0.15, 1.14) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0893 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2401 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.2) | $1(0.5)$ |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.50,6.83)$ | (0.00, 1.34) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.17 (1.17, 71.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0348 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.1) | 2 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.36) | (0.00, 3.28) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.49 (0.25, 8.78) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6597 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1476 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.2) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.88, 5.60) | (0.00, 1.34) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.13 (0.88, 57.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0651 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.4) | 4 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {a }}$ | (0.47, 6.37) | (0.09, 5.42) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.24 (0.34, 4.53) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7433 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3854 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.2) | 10 ( 4.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.50, 6.83) | (1.79, 7.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.92 (0.38, 2.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8465 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.4) | 2 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {a }}$ | (0.47, 6.37) | (0.00, 3.28) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.48 (0.49, 12.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2723 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3651 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.3) | 7 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.31, 4.32) | (0.86, 5.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.73 (0.23, 2.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5818 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.7) | 8 ( 5.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.09, 5.39) | (1.80, 9.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.50 (0.15, 1.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2442 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4927 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.2) | 5 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.50,6.83)$ | (0.30, 4.24) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.59, 4.97) | (0.00, 1.66) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.25 (0.69, 217.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0880 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.09, 5.39) | (0.00, 2.51) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.97 (0.43, 149.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1651 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9343 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 4.6) | 8 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.83, 7.43) | $(1.16,6.11)$ |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.76 (1.33, 5.70) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0062 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2787 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dizziness | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 1.9) | 3 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.05, 3.65) | (0.00, 2.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.36 (0.31, 6.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6863 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.1) | 1 ( 0.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.89, 7.33 ) | (0.00, 2.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.96 (0.73, 48.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0965 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1749 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.85 (1.89, 7.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 27 ( 18.5) | 14 ( 9.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (12.20, 24.79) | (4.85, 14.46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.92 (1.05, 3.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0347 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6464 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 29 ( 13.4) | 2 ( 0.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.88, 17.97) | (0.00, 2.16) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.77 (3.57, 61.13) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 11.6) | 8 ( 5.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.44, 16.85) | (1.80, 9.23) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.11 (0.94, 4.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0701 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1346 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Baseline disease severity: Moderate | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.2) | 8 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.88, 5.60) | $(1.16,6.11)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.89 (0.33, 2.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8208 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline disease severity: Severe | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 6.8) | 6 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(2.75,10.95)$ | (0.90, 7.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.66 (0.62, 4.44) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3163 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3289 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.56 (4.64, 24.03) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1189 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vomiting | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | $1(2.5)$ | 1 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.34) | (0.00, 5.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.27 (0.08, 19.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8621 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 3.1) | 5 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.21, 5.00) | (0.21, 2.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.95 (0.67, 5.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2177 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7718 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | Overall | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 5.0) | 1 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.75) | (0.00, 5.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.55 (0.24, 27.13) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4378 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | $\mathrm{N}$ | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $8(2.5)$ | $4(1.3)$ |
|  |  |  | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(0.78,4.18)$ | (0.03, 2.51) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.95 (0.59, 6.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2713 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6542 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fatigue | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 5.0) | 1 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.75) | (0.00, 5.77) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.82 (0.60, 1.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2126 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0968 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COVID-19 | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 7.5) | 1 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 15.66) | (0.00, 5.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.82 (0.41, 35.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2373 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 12 ( 3.7) | 11 ( 3.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 5.80) | (1.47, 5.54) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.48, 2.38) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2785 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=362)}{\text { Abrocinit }} \mathbf{2 0 0 \mathrm { mg }} \text { QD }$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.5) | 2 ( 3.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.34) | (0.00, 9.25) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.64 (0.06, 6.78) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7090 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 2.2) | 33 ( 10.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.58, 3.77) | (7.12, 13.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.21 (0.09, 0.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0867 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.34) | (0.00, 6.98) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.58 (0.09, 74.84) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5822 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 3.4) | 3 ( 1.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.43, 5.40) | (0.00, 2.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.58 (1.01, 12.70) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0487 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7582 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.34) | (0.00, 6.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.58 (0.09, 74.84) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5822 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 3.4) | 5 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.43, 5.40) | (0.21, 2.98) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.15 (0.75, 6.10) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1525 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9205 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.34) | (0.00, 6.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.58 (0.09, 74.84) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5822 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 4.0) | 12 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.89,6.19)$ | (1.70, 5.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.49, 2.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8888 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6865 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 10.0) | 4 ( 7.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.70, 19.30) | (0.46, 15.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.27 (0.34, 4.79) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7188 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 1.6) | 11 ( 3.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.20, 2.90) | (1.47, 5.54) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.44 (0.16, 1.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1272 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5199 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.5) | 1 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.34) | (0.00, 5.77) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.48 (0.90, 2.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1238 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7281 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 2 ( 3.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 8.81) | (0.00, 9.25) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.31 (0.01, 6.79) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4608 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 14 ( 4.3) | 11 ( 3.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(2.12,6.58)$ | (1.47, 5.54) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.24 (0.57, 2.69) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5845 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3250 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 3.1) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.21, 5.00) | $(0.00,1.17)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19.53 (1.15, 333.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0400 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 7.5) | 1 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 15.66) | (0.00, 5.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.82 (0.41, 35.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2373 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 12 ( 3.7) | 12 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 5.80) | (1.70, 5.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.98 (0.44, 2.14) | - |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9499 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2520 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 17.5) | $1(2.0)$ |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (5.72, 29.28) | (0.00, 5.77) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.92 (1.14, 69.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0367 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  | n (\%) | 48 ( 14.9) | 26 ( 8.3) |
|  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.02, 18.80) | $(5.23,11.33)$ |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.80 (1.15, 2.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0107 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2271 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dizziness | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 7.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 15.66) | (0.00, 6.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.73 (0.40, 149.86) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1766 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 2.2) | 4 ( 1.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.58, 3.77) | (0.03, 2.51) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.71 (0.50, 5.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3900 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2210 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.10 (2.09, 8.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8429 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Exposed | N | 40 | 51 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 12.5) | 2 ( 3.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.25, 22.75) | (0.00, 9.25) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.19 (0.65, 15.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1522 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Previous cyclosporine exposure: Cyclosporine Naive | N | 322 | 314 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 12 ( 3.7) | 12 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 5.80) | (1.70, 5.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.98 (0.44, 2.14) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9499 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1643 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | Overall | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 35 ( 26.5) | 3 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (18.98, 34.05) | (0.00, 4.67) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.02 (3.79, 38.13) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 15.8) | 4 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.71, 20.92) | (0.07, 4.28) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.28 (2.62, 20.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 11.8) | 4 ( 8.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 22.59) | (0.57, 17.20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.36, 4.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6755 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0173 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Nausea | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 35 ( 26.5) | 1 ( 0.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (18.98, 34.05) | (0.00, 2.17) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 36.06 (5.01, 259.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0004 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and $<=100$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 15.8) | 3 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.71, 20.92) | (0.00, 3.46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.70 (3.02, 31.19) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 11.8) | 4 ( 8.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 22.59) | (0.57, 17.20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.36, 4.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6755 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0092 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Vomiting | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 6.1) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.99,10.13)$ | (0.00, 3.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.12 (0.89, 19.05) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0698 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.5) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.25) | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.82 (0.30, 26.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3680 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg) : >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 3 ( 6.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | (0.00, 13.95) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.22 (0.01, 4.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3124 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1135 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Fatigue | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 5.3) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.48, 9.13) | (0.00, 3.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.61 (0.76, 17.04) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1055 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.5) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.25) | (0.00, 2.59) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.41 (0.24, 8.33$)$ |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7059 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | (0.00, 6.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.65 (0.02, 18.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8034 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3314 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 21 ( 15.9) | 30 ( 22.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.67, 22.15) | (15.09, 29.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.72 (0.44, 1.19) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2034 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 41 ( 20.9) | 41 ( 22.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.22, 26.61) | (16.27, 28.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.94 (0.64, 1.38) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.7466 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg) : > 100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 17.6) | 5 ( 11.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.83, 30.46) | (1.93, 20.29) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.59 (0.53, 4.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4098 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3888 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | COVID-19 | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.10, 5.78) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.77 (0.18, 3.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7324 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 5.1) | 7 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(2.02,8.18)$ | $(1.04,6.57)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.52, 3.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5426 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 5.9) | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.79) | (0.00, 6.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.65 (0.25, 28.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4186 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6152 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 15 ( 11.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | $(5.76,16.29)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.14 (0.03, 0.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0075 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.1) | 17 ( 9.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.65, 5.47) | (5.06, 13.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.33 (0.13, 0.82) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0172 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg) : >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 3 ( 6.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | (0.00, 13.95) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.22 (0.01, 4.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3124 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6025 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Folliculitis | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | (0.00, 3.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.15, 7.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9760 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.6) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 7.52) | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.45 (1.08, 66.04) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0419 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg) : >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.9) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 8.62) | (0.00, 7.87) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.68 (0.09, 77.48) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5664 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1856 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Herpes simplex | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.0) | 3 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.11, 5.95) | (0.00, 4.67) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.37 (0.31, 6.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6736 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 4.1) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.31, 6.85) | (0.00, 2.59) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.76 (0.81, 17.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0914 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5701 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 5 ( 3.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.51, 6.84) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.31, 3.48) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9616 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.6) | 6 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 7.52) | $(0.69,5.83)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.41 (0.51, 3.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5079 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | (0.00, 6.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.65 (0.02, 18.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8034 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8244 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oral herpes | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 6 ( 4.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.96, 7.86) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.86 (0.27, 2.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7971 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and $<=100$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.5) | 8 ( 4.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.25) | (1.40, 7.29) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.35 (0.09, 1.31) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1187 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.9) | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 8.62) | (0.00, 6.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.09, 20.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8408 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5945 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.10, 5.78) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.77 (0.18, 3.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7324 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and $<=100$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.6) | 5 ( 2.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.34, 4.76) | (0.37, 5.07) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.94 (0.28, 3.19) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.9194 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 5.9) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.79) | (0.00, 7.87) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.35 (0.25, 114.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2837 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5199 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations | Overall | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 9.8) | 8 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.77, 14.93) | (1.93, 9.84) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.67 (0.72, 3.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2333 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 22 ( 11.2) | 17 ( 9.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.81, 15.64) | (5.06, 13.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.67, 2.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5249 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg) : >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 8.8) | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.36) | (0.00, 6.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.97 (0.43, 36.52) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2232 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7657 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.10, 5.78) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.77 (0.18, 3.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7324 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and $<=100$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.6) | 9 ( 4.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 7.52) | (1.77, 8.01) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.94 (0.38, 2.31) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.8908 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 5.9) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 13.79) | (0.00, 7.87) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.35 (0.25, 114.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2837 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5176 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 5.3) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.48, 9.13) | (0.00, 2.68) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.48 (0.83, 252.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0669 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.25) | (0.00, 1.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.65 (0.28, 111.99) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.2560 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2238 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.10, 5.78) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.77 (0.18, 3.39) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7324 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 14.3) | 11 ( 6.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(9.39,19.18)$ | (2.55, 9.40) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.39 (1.23, 4.66) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0106 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 17.6) | 5 ( 11.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.83, 30.46) | (1.93, 20.29) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.59 (0.53, 4.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.4098 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9870 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dizziness | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 3.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.58 (0.51, 13.04) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2530 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.0) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.06, 4.02) | $(0.00,1.61)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.76 (0.42, 33.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2347 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 2.9) | 1 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 8.62) | (0.00, 6.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.09, 20.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8408 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9035 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Headache | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 16 ( 12.1) | 10 ( 7.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.55, 17.69) | (2.97, 11.74) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.65 (0.78, 3.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1932 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 12.8) | 10 ( 5.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.08, 17.43) | $(2.16,8.71)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.35 (1.16, 4.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0177 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 17.6) | 4 ( 8.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.83, 30.46) | (0.57, 17.20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.99 (0.61, 6.49) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2564 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8210 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 26 ( 19.7) | 6 ( 4.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (12.91, 26.48) | (0.96, 7.86) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.46 (1.90, 10.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0006 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 32 ( 16.3) | 15 ( 8.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.15, 21.50) | (4.20, 12.11) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.00 (1.12, 3.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0188 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 8.8) | 2 ( 4.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.36) | (0.00, 10.47) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.99 (0.35, 11.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4380 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2130 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 19 ( 14.4) | 3 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.41, 20.38) | (0.00, 4.67) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.53 (1.98, 21.53) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0021 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >=70 and $<=100$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 12.2) | 7 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(7.66,16.83)$ | $(1.04,6.57)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.22 (1.42, 7.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0051 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 8.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 18.36) | (0.00, 7.87) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.03 (0.42, 155.07) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1679 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6330 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Weight(kg): <70 | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 6.1) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.99,10.13)$ | (0.10, 5.78) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.06 (0.64, 6.68) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2282 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight(kg): >=70 and } \\ & <=100 \end{aligned}$ | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.6) | 8 ( 4.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 7.52) | (1.40, 7.29) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.06 (0.42, 2.68) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9085 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weight(kg): >100 | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 2 ( 4.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.28) | (0.00, 10.47) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.33 (0.02, 7.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.4739 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3776 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | Overall | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 115 ( 51.8) | 65 ( 29.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (45.23, 58.37) | (23.52, 35.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.75 (1.38, 2.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 82 ( 58.6) | 64 ( 44.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (50.41, 66.73) | (36.06, 52.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.33 (1.05, 1.67) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0159 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5887 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | Overall | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 39 ( 17.6) | 8 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (12.56, 22.57) | $(1.16,6.11)$ |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.83 (2.31, 10.10) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 22.1) | 3 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.27, 29.02) | (0.00, 4.39) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.70 (3.35, 34.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2029 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nausea | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 39 ( 17.6) | 7 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (12.56, 22.57) | (0.86, 5.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.52 (2.52, 12.08) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: $>=26$ | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 22.1) | 1 ( 0.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.27, 29.02) | (0.00, 2.04) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 32.11 (4.44, 232.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1439 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vomiting | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 4.5) | 3 ( 1.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.78, 7.23) | (0.00, 2.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.30 (0.92, 11.84) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0666 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 0.7) | 3 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.11) | (0.00, 4.39) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.35 (0.04, 3.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3545 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0339 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD (N=362) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=365 \text { ) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.99 (0.25, 3.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9897 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.3) | 1 ( 0.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 7.64) | (0.00, 2.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.21 (0.76, 50.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0888 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1091 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 37 ( 16.7) | 32 ( 14.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.76, 21.57) | (9.89, 19.20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.15 (0.74, 1.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5395 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: $>=26$ | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 22.1) | 44 ( 30.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (15.27, 29.02) | (22.86, 37.83) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.73 (0.49, 1.08) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1194 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0958 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COVID-19 | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.1) | 6 ( 2.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.46,6.65)$ | (0.58, 4.88) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.49 (0.54, 4.11) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4444 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.3) | 6 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 7.64) | (0.90, 7.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.04 (0.34, 3.13) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9505 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6902 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.4) | 12 ( 5.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.87) | (2.45, 8.46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.25 (0.07, 0.87) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0289 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.6) | 23 ( 15.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.50, 6.65) | (9.92, 21.81) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.23 (0.09, 0.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0019 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0308 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.2) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.85, 5.45) | (0.00, 1.66) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.91 (0.79, 243.55) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0715 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.6) | 3 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.50, 6.65) | (0.00, 4.39) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.73 (0.42, 7.09) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4487 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5480 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.3) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.30, 4.20) | (0.00, 1.34) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.95 (0.58, 42.07) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1425 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 5.0) | 4 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.39,8.61)$ | (0.09, 5.42) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.81 (0.54, 6.06) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3339 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8469 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 3.6) | 6 ( 2.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.15,6.06)$ | (0.58, 4.88) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.47, 3.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.3) | 6 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 7.64) | (0.90, 7.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.04 (0.34, 3.13) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9505 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8038 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.3) | 5 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.30, 4.20) | (0.30, 4.24) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.99 (0.29, 3.38) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9885 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.9) | 10 ( 6.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.10, 5.62) | (2.77, 11.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.41 (0.13, 1.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1284 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1659 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.7) | 4 ( 1.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.57, 4.84) | (0.05, 3.58) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD (N=362) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=365 \text { ) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.49 (0.43, 5.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5347 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.9) | 5 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.10, 5.62) | $(0.48,6.42)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.83 (0.23, 3.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.7758 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5570 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations | Overall | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 23 ( 10.4) | 13 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.35, 14.37) | (2.79, 9.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.75 (0.91, 3.37) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0924 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: $>=26$ | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 15 ( 10.7) | 13 ( 9.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.59,15.84)$ | (4.32, 13.62) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.20 (0.59, 2.42) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6205 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5481 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.1) | 7 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.46,6.65)$ | (0.86, 5.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.27 (0.48, 3.36) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6245 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.6) | 6 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.50, 6.65) | (0.90, 7.38) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.86 (0.27, 2.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8042 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6188 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 1.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.05, 3.55) | (0.00, 1.66) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.95 (0.42, 149.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1662 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.3) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 7.64) | (0.00, 2.51) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.47 (0.70, 221.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0854 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2419 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 4.5) | 6 ( 2.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.78, 7.23) | (0.58, 4.88) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.67 (0.87, 3.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1216 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5644 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dizziness | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 3.6) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.15,6.06)$ | (0.00, 1.34) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.93 (1.00, 62.86) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.4) | 3 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.39) | (0.00, 4.39) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.69 (0.12, 4.07) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6824 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0648 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Headache | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 27 ( 12.2) | 13 ( 5.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.86, 16.46) | (2.79, 9.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.06 (1.09, 3.88) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0258 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 20 ( 14.3) | 11 ( 7.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.49, 20.08) | (3.28, 11.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.88 (0.94, 3.78) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0756 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 14.0) | 12 ( 5.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.40, 18.52) | (2.45, 8.46) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.56 (1.35, 4.85) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0040 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 30 ( 21.4) | 11 ( 7.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.63, 28.23) | (3.28, 11.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.82 (1.47, 5.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0018 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2512 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 22 ( 9.9) | 7 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.98,13.84)$ | (0.86, 5.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.11 (1.36, 7.14) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0073 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: $>=26$ | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 17.1) | 3 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.90, 23.39) | (0.00, 4.39) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.29 (2.55, 26.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0004 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0458 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | AD Duration (years) group: <26 | N | 222 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.1) | 6 ( 2.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.46,6.65)$ | (0.58, 4.88) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.49 (0.54, 4.11) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4444 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AD Duration (years) group: >=26 | N | 140 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 5.7) | 8 ( 5.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.87, 9.56) | (1.80, 9.23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.04 (0.40, 2.68) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9424 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7317 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Fatigue | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.2) | 3 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.35) | (0.00, 3.48) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.35 (0.31, 5.94) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6930 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.5) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.75, 6.23) | (0.00, 2.73) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.03 (0.62, 14.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1702 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4142 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 35 ( 19.3) | 38 ( 20.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (13.58, 25.09) | (14.89, 26.64) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.26 (0.51, 3.13) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6114 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8191 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.7) | 20 ( 10.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.52) | (6.41, 15.45) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.15 (0.05, 0.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0020 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 15 ( 8.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (4.45, 12.79) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.34 (0.13, 0.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0314 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3185 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Folliculitis | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 4.4) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.43, 7.41) | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.09 (1.02, 64.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0476 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.58) | (0.00, 2.73) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.02 (0.38, 10.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4122 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.3) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.71, 5.92) | (0.00, 2.00) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.38, 3.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7449 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8365 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oral herpes | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.8) | 6 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.37, 5.15) | (0.70, 5.86) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.84 (0.26, 2.71) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7739 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.7) | 9 ( 5.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.70) | $(1.88,8.46)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.34 (0.09, 1.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0985 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2731 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.3) | 3 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.71, 5.92) | (0.00, 3.48) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.02 (0.51, 7.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3140 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.7) | 6 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.70) | $(0.74,6.16)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.51 (0.13, 1.99) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3294 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1530 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations | Overall | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 9.4) | 11 ( 6.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.14,13.64)$ | (2.57, 9.45) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.35 (0.48, 3.81) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5718 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5514 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.37, 5.15) | (0.00, 2.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.14 (0.56, 184.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1175 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (0.00, 2.10) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.15 (0.56, 184.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1173 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9436 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.3) | 4 ( 2.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.71, 5.92) | (0.07, 4.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.52 (0.44, 5.28) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5132 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 5.2) | 9 ( 5.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.90, 8.56) | (1.88, 8.46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.01 (0.41, 2.49) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9799 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7212 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 21 ( 11.6) | 19 ( 10.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.94, 16.27) | (5.96, 14.80) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.54 (0.75, 16.80) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1116 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2615 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Headache | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 18 ( 9.9) | 17 ( 9.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (5.59, 14.30) | (5.08, 13.50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.57, 2.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8322 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 16.3) | 7 ( 4.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.76, 21.80) | (1.10, 6.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.05 (1.82, 9.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0103 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 31 ( 17.1) | 12 ( 6.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.64, 22.62) | $(2.97,10.14)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.61 (1.39, 4.92) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0030 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline EASI group: $>25$ | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 16.3) | 10 ( 5.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.76, 21.80) | (2.29, 9.21) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.83 (1.42, 5.65) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0031 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9775 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 13.8) | 6 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.79, 18.84) | (0.70, 5.86) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.52 (0.55, 4.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4189 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6937 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Overall | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 59 ( 48.4) | 40 ( 30.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (39.49, 57.23) | (22.28, 37.87) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.61 (1.17, 2.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0034 |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 73 ( 55.3) | 46 ( 38.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (46.82, 63.78) | (29.37, 46.67) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.45 (1.11, 1.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0074 |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 65 ( 60.2) | 43 ( 38.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (50.95, 69.42) | (29.68, 47.80) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.55 (1.17, 2.06) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0020 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7575 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 20 ( 16.4) | 5 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.82, 22.96) | (0.53, 6.99) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.36 (1.69, 11.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0023 |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 29 ( 22.0) | 5 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.91, 29.03) | (0.59, 7.68) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.32 (2.13, 13.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0004 |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 21 ( 19.4) | 1 ( 0.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(11.98,26.91)$ | (0.00, 2.66) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21.58 (2.95, 157.66) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0025 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4972 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Vomiting | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.3) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.12, 6.44) | (0.00, 3.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.18 (0.41, 11.69) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3630 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 3 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 5.25) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.53 (0.37, 6.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5558 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.9) | 1 ( 0.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.39) | (0.00, 2.66) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.06 (0.19, 22.34) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5539 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9452 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.6) | 1 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.89) | (0.00, 2.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.18 (0.20, 23.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5223 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 4 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | (0.12, 6.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.46 (0.09, 2.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3626 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: <br> $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 5.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.24, 9.88) | (0.00, 3.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.39 (0.70, 219.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0860 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0770 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Fatigue | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.6) | 1 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.89) | (0.00, 2.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.18 (0.20, 23.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5223 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 4 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | (0.12, 6.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.46 (0.09, 2.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3626 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{>50}{\text { Baseline } \% \text { BSA group: }}$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 5.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.24, 9.88) | (0.00, 3.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.39 (0.70, 219.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0860 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0770 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 20 ( 16.4) | 18 ( 13.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.82, 22.96) | (7.72, 19.35) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.67, 2.18) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5225 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 21.2) | 29 ( 24.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.24, 28.19) | (16.36, 31.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.89 (0.56, 1.40) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6005 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 20 ( 18.5) | 29 ( 26.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.19, 25.84) | (17.95, 34.30) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.71 (0.43, 1.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1811 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3272 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | COVID-19 | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.3) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.12, 6.44) | (0.00, 3.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.18 (0.41, 11.69) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3630 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 4 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.12, 6.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.15 (0.31, 4.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8363 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Baseline } \\>50}}{\text { \%BSA group: }}$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 5.6) | 6 ( 5.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.24, 9.88) | (1.20, 9.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.34, 3.09) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9611 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8707 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.6) | 8 ( 6.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.89) | (1.97, 10.06) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.27 (0.06, 1.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0958 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.0) | 16 ( 13.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.11, 5.95) | (7.19, 19.26) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.23 (0.08, 0.67) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0068 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.9) | 11 ( 9.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.39) | $(4.35,15.47)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.19 (0.04, 0.82) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0267 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3154 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Folliculitis | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 4.1) | 1 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.58, 7.62) | (0.00, 2.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.45 (0.65, 46.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1192 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 3.92) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.29 (0.45, 11.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3161 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.9) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.39) | (0.00, 3.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.13 (0.19, 90.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3680 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7224 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Herpes simplex | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 4.1) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.58, 7.62) | (0.00, 2.74) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.94 (0.60, 198.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1055 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.82) | (0.00, 3.92) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.38 (0.23, 8.09) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7247 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.7) | 3 ( 2.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.14, 7.27) | (0.00, 5.72) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.37 (0.31, 5.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6751 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4505 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Nasopharyngitis | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.3) | 4 ( 3.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.12, 6.44) | (0.10, 5.91) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.09 (0.28, 4.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9013 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 6.1) | 4 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.99,10.13)$ | (0.12, 6.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.83 (0.57, 5.93) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3118 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.9) | 4 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 4.39) | (0.14, 7.07) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.51 (0.10, 2.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4364 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4229 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.5) | 4 ( 3.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 5.21) | (0.10, 5.91) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.82 (0.19, 3.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7893 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 6 ( 5.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | $(1.09,8.83)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.31 (0.06, 1.49) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1417 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.7) | 5 ( 4.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.14, 7.27) | (0.65, 8.36) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.82 (0.23, 2.98) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7658 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6009 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.6) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.89) | (0.00, 3.57) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.09 (0.16, 7.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9307 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | 5 ( 3.8) | 2 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 3.92) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.29 (0.45, 11.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3161 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.8) | 5 ( 4.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 5.88) | (0.65, 8.36) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 (0.15, 2.52) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4817 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Investigations | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 10.7) | 7 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.18,16.13)$ | (1.47, 9.06) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.02 (0.84, 4.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1184 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 6.1) | 7 ( 5.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.99,10.13)$ | (1.63, 9.94) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.05 (0.39, 2.80) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9262 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 17 ( 15.7) | 12 ( 10.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.87, 22.61) | $(5.03,16.59)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.46 (0.73, 2.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2858 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4552 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 4.1) | 4 ( 3.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.58, 7.62) | (0.10, 5.91) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.36 (0.37, 4.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6386 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 0.8) | 4 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.24) | (0.12, 6.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.23 (0.03, 2.02) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1848 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 7.4) | 5 ( 4.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (2.47, 12.35) | (0.65, 8.36) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.64 (0.56, 4.87) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3691 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2399 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.89) | (0.00, 2.74) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.38 (0.20, 96.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3489 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.11, 5.95) | (0.00, 3.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.36 (0.39, 137.85) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1816 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.14, 7.27) | (0.00, 3.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.26 (0.44, 154.37) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1576 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6357 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 10.7) | 13 ( 9.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.18,16.13)$ | (4.73, 14.82) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.09 (0.53, 2.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8163 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 22 ( 16.7) | 10 ( 8.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.31, 23.02) | (3.36, 13.17) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.02 (1.00, 4.08) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0514 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: <br> $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 20 ( 18.5) | 4 ( 3.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.19, 25.84) | (0.14, 7.07) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.14 (1.82, 14.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0020 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0490 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Dizziness | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.6) | 1 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.89) | (0.00, 2.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.18 (0.20, 23.74) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5223 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 3.8) | 3 ( 2.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.53, 7.04) | (0.00, 5.25) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.53 (0.37, 6.26) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5558 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 2.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 5.88) | (0.00, 3.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.19 (0.31, 122.22) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2307 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8044 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $(\mathrm{N}=362)$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 15 ( 12.3) | 7 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.47, 18.12) | (1.47, 9.06) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.34 (0.99, 5.54) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0539 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 18.2) | 8 ( 6.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.60, 24.76) | $(2.18,11.04)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.75 (1.28, 5.89) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0092 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: <br> $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 22 ( 20.4) | 8 ( 7.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (12.77, 27.97) | (2.40, 12.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.83 (1.32, 6.07) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0077 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4712 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Dermatitis atopic | Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 3.3) | 5 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.12, 6.44) | (0.53, 6.99) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.87 (0.24, 3.17) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8355 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>30-50$ | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 6.1) | 4 ( 3.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.99,10.13)$ | (0.12, 6.49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.83 (0.57, 5.93) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3118 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline \%BSA group: $>50$ | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 4.6) | 5 ( 4.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.67, 8.59) | (0.65, 8.36) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.31, 3.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9646 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6344 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

## (Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.58 (1.68, 7.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0010 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 42 ( 22.3) | 3 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (16.39, 28.29) | (0.00, 3.37) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.07 (4.44, 44.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0544 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nausea | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 28 ( 16.3) | 6 ( 3.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.76, 21.80) | (0.73, 6.09) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.78 (2.03, 11.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 42 ( 22.3) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (16.39, 28.29) | (0.00, 2.52) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | Overall | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (0.00, 2.70) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.56 (0.50, 13.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2576 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.7) | 3 ( 1.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.36, 4.96) | (0.00, 3.37) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.68 (0.41, 6.91) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4753 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7427 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fatigue | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (0.00, 2.70) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.7) | 17 ( 9.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.70) | $(5.29,14.02)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.18 (0.05, 0.61$)$ |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0055 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.7) | 18 ( 9.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.36, 4.96) | (5.34, 13.71) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.28 (0.11, 0.74$)$ |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7727 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folliculitis | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.5) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.75, 6.23) | (0.00, 2.70) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{3 6 2})}{\text { Abrocitini 200 }}$ | $\underset{(N=365)}{\text { Dupilumab 300mg Q2W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.07 (0.63, 15.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1658 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.2) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.68, 5.70) | (0.00, 1.56) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.03 (0.73, 49.62) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0946 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8891 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents |  | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.5) | 3 ( 1.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CIa | $(0.75,6.23)$ | (0.00, 3.62) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.05 (0.52, 8.05) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3055 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.2) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(0.68,5.70)$ | (0.00, 2.52) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Oral herpes | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 11 ( 6.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (2.67, 9.83) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.47 (0.17, 1.31) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1476 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.1) | 4 ( 2.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.06, 4.19) | (0.06, 4.17) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.01 (0.26, 3.96) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9939 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2090 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (0.00, 2.70) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All

## Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set

(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | $\mathrm{N}$ | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.70) | (0.00, 2.07) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.16 (0.31, 122.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2329 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.7) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.02, 6.43) | (0.00, 1.93) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.11 (0.81, 246.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0699 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2645 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 4.7) | 5 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.50, 7.80) | (0.39, 5.30) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.88 (1.50, 5.55) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0015 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 30 ( 16.0) | 12 ( 6.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (10.72, 21.19) | (2.87, 9.83) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.51 (1.33, 4.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0047 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6371 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 22 ( 12.8) | 4 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (7.80, 17.78) | (0.07, 4.47) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.63 (1.98, 15.99) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0012 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 24 ( 12.8) | 6 ( 3.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.00, 17.54) | $(0.68,5.67)$ |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7
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## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Overall | Overall | Baseline PP-NRS group: | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 49 ( 59.0) | 38 ( 36.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (48.46, 69.62) | (27.00, 45.38) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.63 (1.20, 2.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0020 |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 145 ( 52.9) | 91 ( 35.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (47.01, 58.83) | (29.32, 40.95) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.51 (1.23, 1.84) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4707 |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: Missing | N | 5 | 1 |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Fatigue | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 3.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.63) | (0.00, 3.45) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.63 (0.39, 150.16) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1815 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 2.6) | 5 ( 1.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.69, 4.42) | (0.25, 3.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 (0.43, 4.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6285 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3207 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infections and infestations | Overall | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 30.1) | 23 ( 21.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (20.25, 39.99) | (13.99, 29.82) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.04 (0.45, 2.41) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9274 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3897 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conjunctivitis | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 2.4) | 13 ( 12.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 5.71) | $(6.08,18.68)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.19 (0.05, 0.84) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0281 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.2) | 22 ( 8.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.46, 3.92) | (5.10, 11.89) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.26 (0.11, 0.63) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0027 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3673 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Folliculitis | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 9.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(3.29,15.99)$ | (0.00, 3.45) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20.34 (1.19, 349.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0378 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 1.5) | 3 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.04, 2.88) | (0.00, 2.46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.26 (0.28, 5.58) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7604 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0134 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Herpes simplex | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 4.8) | 1 ( 1.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.21, 9.43) | (0.00, 2.81) |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=362 \text { ) }$ | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | Upper respiratory tract infection | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 6.0) | 4 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.91, 11.14) | (0.15, 7.47) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.58 (0.44, 5.70) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4838 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 1.8) | 5 ( 1.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.24, 3.41) | (0.25, 3.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.95 (0.28, 3.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9284 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4980 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations | Overall | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 10.8) | 8 ( 7.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.15, 17.53) | (2.54, 12.69) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.30 (0.53, 3.18) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5658 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1228 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Natural killer cell count decreased | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 4.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.21, 9.43) | (0.00, 3.45) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.17 (0.55, 189.65) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1203 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.2) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.46, 3.92) | (0.00, 1.41) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.36 (0.64, 202.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0981 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3706 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  | SARS-CoV-2 test positive | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 4.8) | 3 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.21, 9.43) | (0.00, 6.04) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.69 (0.39, 7.33 ) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4855 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 3.6) | 10 ( 3.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.43, 5.87) | $(1.51,6.21)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.95 (0.40, 2.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.8979 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5112 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous system disorders | Overall | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 14 ( 16.9) | 5 ( 4.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.81, 24.92) | (0.69, 8.84) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Overall | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 10 ( 12.0) | 6 ( 5.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(5.05,19.05)$ | (1.27, 10.15) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.11 (0.80, 5.56) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1319 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Baseline PP-NRS group: $>=7$ | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 51 ( 18.6) | 17 ( 6.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (14.00, 23.22) | (3.55, 9.58) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.84 (1.68, 4.78) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2353 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acne | Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 10.8) | 1 ( 1.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(4.15,17.53)$ | (0.00, 2.81) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Table 14.3.1.6.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Organ Class | MedDRA Preferred Term | Subgroup |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.89 (0.82, 4.34) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1333 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0212 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:18)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_7

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events Occurring in >= 10 Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Table not created

No participant meets the reporting criteria

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_8

Proportion of Subjects with Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events Occurring in >= $\mathbf{1 0}$ Subjects in any Treatment Group by Subgroup, System Organ Class and Preferred Term (All
Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Table not created

No participant meets the reporting criteria

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:29)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_9

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 201 ( 74.7) | 167 ( 67.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (69.53, 79.91) | (61.50, 73.18) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0665 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 16 ( 64.0) | 13 ( 50.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (45.18, 82.82) | (30.78, 69.22) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.28 (0.79, 2.08) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3174 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 44 ( 71.0) | 52 ( 62.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (59.67, 82.27) | (52.24, 73.06) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.13 (0.90, 1.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2883 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
Protocol B7451050)
Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Weight


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline \%BSA group: 10-30 | Overall | Overall | N | 122 | 133 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 89 ( 73.0) | 91 ( 68.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (65.07, 80.83) | (60.52, 76.32) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 (0.91, 1.25) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4269 |  |
| Baseline \%BSA group: >30-50 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 121 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 97 ( 73.5) | 79 ( 65.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (65.95, 81.02) | (56.81, 73.77) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.13 (0.95, 1.33) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1613 |  |
| Baseline \%BSA group: >50 | Overall | Overall | N | 108 | 111 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 81 ( 75.0) | 67 ( 60.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (66.83, 83.17) | (51.26, 69.46) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.24 (1.03, 1.50) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0221 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5511 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
roportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Prior AD medications


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.7 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Non-Severe Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Overall | Overall | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 64 ( 77.1) | 71 ( 67.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (68.07, 86.15) | (58.67, 76.57) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.14 (0.96, 1.36) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1455 |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Overall | Overall | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 200 ( 73.0) | 165 ( 63.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (67.74, 78.25) | (57.85, 69.56) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.15 (1.02, 1.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0224 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8535 |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 5 | 1 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_10_1

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 9.3) | 19 ( 7.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (5.82, 12.76) | $(4.35,10.97)$ |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 (0.69, 2.15) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.5073 |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 6.5) | 1 ( 1.2) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.34, 12.57) | (0.00, 3.55) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.35 (0.61, 46.73) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.1290 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
 (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: Other | Overall | Overall | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 16.7) | 2 ( 25.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 46.49) | (0.00, 55.01) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 (0.08, 5.75) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7122 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8119 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib

(All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Overall | Overall | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 5.6) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (0.00,16.14) $(0.00,17.65)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.17 (0.08, 60.76) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6494 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9965 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred <br> Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Overall | Overall | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 18 ( 8.3) | 11 ( 5.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (4.65, 12.02) | (2.12, 7.88) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.67 (0.81, 3.45) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1680 |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Overall | Overall | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 13 ( 8.9) | 11 ( 7.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(4.28,13.52)$ | (3.28, 11.90) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.17 (0.54, 2.53) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6832 |  |
|  Test for interaction  |  |  |  |  |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup
(All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Weight



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline EASI group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline \% BSA group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.8 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup
(All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline PP-NRS group



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_11

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 8 ( 3.0) | 2 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.94, 5.00) | (0.00, 1.92) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.69 (0.79, 17.20) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0967 |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Race: Other | Overall | Overall | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7564 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 4.5) | 1 ( 0.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.99, 8.10) | (0.00, 2.17) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.18 (0.75, 50.65) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0896 |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.5) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.25) | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.82 (0.30, 26.83) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3680 |  |
| Weight(kg) : >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4008 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Overall | Overall | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 1.7) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.52) | (0.00, 2.60) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.52 (0.26, 8.97) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6461 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 3.5) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.75, 6.23) | (0.00, 2.10) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.17 (0.69, 216.29) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0887 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1675 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 9 | 8 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (0.00, 2.70) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.56 (0.50, 13.01) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.2576 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Overall | Overall | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.1) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.06, 4.19) | $(0.00,1.93)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.06 (0.43, 151.47) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1631 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9671 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 2 | 0 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.1 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Herpes Zoster) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Race


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: Other | Overall | Overall | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 50.0) | 1 ( 12.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.99, 90.01) | (0.00, 35.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.00 (0.54, 29.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1744 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1881 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Overall | Overall | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 30 ( 16.9) | 8 ( 4.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (11.42, 22.48) | (1.32, 6.89) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.13 (1.95, 8.77) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0002 |  |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Overall | Overall | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 14 ( 9.3) | 1 ( 0.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(4.68,13.99)$ | (0.00, 2.24) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.32 (1.64, 92.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0146 |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Overall | Overall | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 23.5) | 2 ( 10.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (3.37, 43.69) | (0.00, 24.33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.24 (0.47, 10.70) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.3141 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Overall | Overall | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1721 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 19 ( 14.4) | 4 ( 2.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.41, 20.38) | (0.10, 5.78) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.89 (1.71, 14.00) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0031 |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 25 ( 12.8) | 7 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (8.08, 17.43) | (1.04, 6.57) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.35 (1.49, 7.56) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0036 |  |
| Weight(kg): >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 11.8) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.93, 22.59) | (0.00, 7.87) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.71 (0.59, 195.78) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.1098 |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8508 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Overall | Overall | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 26 ( 14.4) | 7 ( 3.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(9.26,19.47)$ | (1.05, 6.60) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.76 (1.67, 8.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0013 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 20 ( 11.6) | 4 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (6.84, 16.42) | (0.07, 4.53) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.06 (1.77, 14.49) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0025 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7282 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 9 | 8 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline \% BSA group



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.2 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Acne) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: 4-6 | Overall | Overall | N | 83 | 105 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 11 ( 13.3) | 2 ( 1.9) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (5.96, 20.55) | (0.00, 4.52) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.96 (1.59, 30.53) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0101 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: >=7 | Overall | Overall | N | 274 | 259 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 37 ( 13.5) | 9 ( 3.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9.46, 17.55) | (1.24, 5.71) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.89 (1.91, 7.89) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0002 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.8001 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline PP-NRS group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 5 | 1 |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:26)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_1

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Overall | Overall | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5893 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Weight(kg): <70 | Overall | Overall | N | 132 | 136 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.5) | 2 ( 1.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.60) | (0.00, 3.49) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.03 (0.15, 7.21) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.9760 |  |
| Weight(kg): >=70 and <=100 | Overall | Overall | N | 196 | 184 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 9 ( 4.6) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.66, 7.52) | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.45 (1.08, 66.04) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0419 |  |
| Weight(kg) : >100 | Overall | Overall | N | 34 | 45 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1597 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline EASI group

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline EASI group: 16-25 | Overall | Overall | N | 181 | 183 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.9) | 1 ( 0.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(1.06,6.68)$ | (0.00, 1.61) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.08 (0.88, 56.95) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0659 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: >25 | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 174 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.3) | 2 ( 1.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.58) | (0.00, 2.73) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.02 (0.38, 10.90) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4122 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3039 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline EASI group: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 9 | 8 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.3 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Folliculitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_2

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<40, >=40)



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Age group (<65, >=65)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 2.6) | 33 ( 13.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.70, 4.50) | (9.08, 17.53) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.20 (0.09, 0.43) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | <. 0001 |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 3 ( 4.8) | 5 ( 6.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 10.18) | (0.91, 11.14) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.80 (0.20, 3.23) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7579 |  |
| Race: Other | Overall | Overall | N | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 1 ( 12.5) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 45.93) | (0.00, 35.42) |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race

| Subgroup |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W $(\mathrm{N}=365)$ <br> n (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | System <br> Organ <br> Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 (0.02, 15.61) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.7685 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0408 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: US/Canada/Australia | Overall | Overall | N | 177 | 195 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.3) | 22 ( 11.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.07, 4.45) | $(6.84,15.72)$ |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.20 (0.07, 0.57) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.0026 |  |
| Region of enrollment: Europe | Overall | Overall | N | 150 | 132 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 4 ( 2.7) | 16 ( 12.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.09, 5.24) | $(6.55,17.69)$ |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.22 (0.08, 0.64) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0056 |  |
| Region of enrollment: Asia | Overall | Overall | N | 17 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 11.8) | 1 ( 5.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 27.08) | (0.00, 15.30) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.24 (0.22, 22.51) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4948 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Region

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Region of enrollment: Latin America | Overall | Overall | N | 18 | 19 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1573 |  |

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Prior AD medications: Systemic Agents | Overall | Overall | N | 172 | 176 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.9) | 17 ( 9.7) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.40, 5.42) | (5.29, 14.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.30 (0.11, 0.80) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0158 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Topical Agents Only | Overall | Overall | N | 188 | 189 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 5 ( 2.7) | 22 ( 11.6) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.36, 4.96) | (7.07, 16.21) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.23 (0.09, 0.59) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.0023 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5396 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior AD medications: Missing | Overall | Overall | N | 2 | 0 |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Table 14.3.1.6.10.4 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Conjonctivitis) by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline PP-NRS group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_13_3

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Table not created

No participant meets the reporting criteria

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_14

Proportion of Subjects with Special Interest Treatment-Emergent Severe Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Table not created

No participant meets the reporting criteria

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_15

Proportion of Subjects with Serious Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Table not created

No participant meets the reporting criteria

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_16

Proportion of Subjects with Severe Superinfections of Infections (Treated with Antibiotics, Antiviral or Fungicidal Agents for a Duration > 2 Weeks) Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Table not created

No participant meets the reporting criteria

Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_17

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Age group (<40, >=40)


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Age group (<65, >=65)



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Sex

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred <br> Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Sex: Male | Overall | Overall | N | 193 | 204 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.0) | 4 ( 2.0) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 2.46) | (0.06, 3.86) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.53 (0.10, 2.85) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4585 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex: Female | Overall | Overall | N | 169 | 161 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 4.1) | 5 ( 3.1) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (1.14, 7.15) | (0.43, 5.79) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.33 (0.43, 4.12) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6165 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4137 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Race

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Race: White | Overall | Overall | N | 269 | 248 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 6 ( 2.2) | 7 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.47, 4.00) | (0.76, 4.88) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.79 (0.27, 2.32) |  |
|  |  |  | P-value | 0.6682 |  |
| Race: Black Or African American | Overall | Overall | N | 25 | 26 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 1 ( 4.0) | 0 |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 11.68) | (0.00, 13.23) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.12 (0.07, 60.46) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.6603 |  |
| Race: Asian | Overall | Overall | N | 62 | 83 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 3.2) | 2 ( 2.4) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 7.62) | (0.00, 5.71) |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.34 (0.19, 9.24) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.7673 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set Protocol B7451050)

Race


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Region


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
Protocol B7451050)

## Region



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## Baseline disease severity

|  |  |  |  | Abrocitinib 200mg QD ( $\mathrm{N}=362$ ) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W ( $\mathrm{N}=365$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | System Organ Class | MedDRA <br> Preferred Term |  | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Baseline disease severity: Moderate | Overall | Overall | N | 216 | 220 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 7 ( 3.2) | 5 ( 2.3) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.88, 5.60) | (0.30, 4.24) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.43 (0.46, 4.42) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.5391 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline disease severity: Severe | Overall | Overall | N | 146 | 145 |
|  |  |  | n (\%) | 2 ( 1.4) | 4 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  | 95\% CI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (0.00, 3.26) | (0.09, 5.42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Risk (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.50 (0.09, 2.67) |  |
|  |  |  | P -value | 0.4146 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Test for interaction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.3041 |  |

## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Previous cyclosporine exposure



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Weight


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

## AD Duration



## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline EASI group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set (Protocol B7451050)

Baseline \% BSA group


Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event.
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)

## Prior AD medications



Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.
Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated.
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used.

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero.
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

Table 14.3.1.6.15 Abrocitinib
Proportion of Subjects with Discontinuations from Study Drug due to Adverse Events by Subgroup (All Causalities) - Safety Analysis Set
(Protocol B7451050)
Baseline PP-NRS group


## Included data up to 28 days after last dose of study.

Subjects were counted only once per treatment per event
.5 was added to 0 count cells when RR can't be calculated
a. Results calculated with normal approximation.
b. For test of treatment and subgroup interaction, a log regression model with covariates treatment, subgroup category and treatment by subgroup interaction was used

The two sided P-value was based on a type 3 wald test with the null hypothesis: all the parameters associated with the interaction effect (treatment by subgroup category) were zero
MedDRA v24.0 coding dictionary applied.
PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL SDTM Creation: 06AUG2021 (02:02) Source Data: adae Table Generation: 26SEP2021 (07:28)
Output File: ./nda1_cdisc/B7451050_GBA/adae_propsub_18

TABLE 5.4.1: Non-Severe Treatment Emergent Subset Flag Adverse Events, overall and by SOC/PT - Safety Population
Table page 1 of 1 .

| System Organ Class (SOC) Preferred Term (PT) | Abrocitinib | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \mathrm{mg} \text { QD } \\ \mathrm{N}=362 \end{array}$ | Dupilumab 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{~W} \\ \mathrm{~N}=365 \end{array}$ | Total Po | Population $\mathrm{N}=727$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 195 | ( 53.9\%) | 129 | ( 35.3\%) | 324 | ( $44.6 \%)$ |
| Infections and infestations | 67 | ( 18.5\%) | 76 | ( 20.8\%) | 143 | ( 19.7\%) |
| Conjunctivitis | 8 | ( 2.2\%) | 35 | ( 9.6\%) | 43 | 3 ( 5.9\%) |
| COVID-19 | 14 | ( 3.9\%) | 12 | ( 3.3\%) | 26 | ( 3.6\%) |
| Nasopharyngitis | 14 | ( 3.9\%) | 12 | ( 3.3\%) | 26 | ( 3.6\%) |
| Oral herpes | 9 | ( 2.5\%) | 15 | ( 4.1\%) | 24 | ( 3.3\%) |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 10 | ( 2.8\%) | 9 | ( 2.5\%) | 19 | ( 2.6\%) |
| Herpes simplex | 12 | ( 3.3\%) | 5 | ( 1.4\%) | 17 | 7 ( 2.3\%) |
| Folliculitis | 12 | ( 3.3\%) | 3 | ( 0.8\%) | 15 | 5 ( 2.1\%) |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | 60 | ( 16.6\%) | 23 | ( 6.3\%) | 83 | ( 11.4\%) |
| Acne | 46 | ( 12.7\%) | 10 | ( 2.7\%) | 56 | ( 7.7\%) |
| Dermatitis atopic | 16 | ( 4.4\%) | 14 | ( 3.8\%) | 30 | ( 4.1\%) |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | 70 | ( 19.3\%) | 11 | ( 3.0\%) |  | ( 11.1\%) |
| Nausea | 70 | ( 19.3\%) | 8 | ( 2.2\%) | 78 | ( 10.7\%) |
| Vomiting | 11 | ( 3.0\%) | 6 | ( 1.6\%) | 17 | ( 2.3\%) |
| Nervous system disorders | 54 | ( 14.9\%) | 27 | ( 7.4\%) |  | ( 11.1\%) |
| Headache | 46 | ( 12.7\%) | 24 | ( 6.6\%) | 70 | ( 9.6\%) |
| Dizziness | 10 | ( 2.8\%) | 4 | ( 1.1\%) | 14 | 4 ( 1.9\%) |
| Investigations | 38 | ( 10.5\%) | 26 | ( 7.1\%) | 64 | 4 ( 8.8\%) |
| SARS-CoV-2 test positive |  | ( 4.1\%) | 13 | ( 3.6\%) |  | ( 3.9\%) |
| Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | 14 | ( 3.9\%) | 13 | ( 3.6\%) |  | ( 3.7\%) |
| Natural killer cell count decreased | 10 | ( 2.8\%) | 0 |  |  | ( 1.4\%) |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | 10 | ( 2.8\%) | 5 | ( $1.4 \%$ ) |  | 5 ( 2.1\%) |
| Fatigue | 10 | ( $2.8 \%$ ) | 5 | ( 1.4\%) | 15 | 5 ( 2.1\%) |

Note(s):
Safety Population
CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; PT: (MedDRA) Preferred Term; SOC: (MedDRA) System Organ Class.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root] \02 Programs \02.05 Safety Incidences SOCPT.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 11:26:34

TABLE 4.1: Binary Outcome Analysis: Medicated Topical Background Therapy-Free days Defined by SCORAD-90 - Full Analysis Set Population
Table page 1 of 1.

| Analysis / Population | Abrocitinib 200mg QD | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall |  |  |
| Observed Values |  |  |
| N subjects | 362 | 365 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.2 (34.6) | 6.2 (21.5) |
| p25, median, p75, p90, p95 | $0,0,0,71,102$ | $0,0,0,22,49$ |
| Min, Max | 0,154 | 0, 183 |
| ANCOVA Least-square means model |  |  |
| LSM Estimates (SE) | 15.2 (1.5) | 6.2 (1.5) |
| (95\% CI) | (12.2, 18.2) | (3.3, 9.2) |
| Treatment Difference Estimate (SE) |  | 8.9 (2.1) |
| (95\% CI) |  | $(4.8,13.1)$ |
| p-value |  | <.0001 |

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:21

TABLE 4.2: Binary Outcome Analysis: Medicated Topical Background Therapy-Free days Defined by EASI-90 - Full Analysis Set Population
Table page 1 of 1.

| Analysis / Population | Abrocitinib 200mg QD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall |  |
| Observed Values |  |
| N subjects | 362 |
| Mean (SD) | 51.4 (56.6) |
| p25, median, p75, p90, p95 | 0, 32, 96, 146, 155 |
| Min, Max | 0,170 |
| ANCOVA Least-square means model |  |
| LSM Estimates (SE) | 51.4 (2.7) |
| (95\% CI) | (46.0, 56.8) |
| Treatment Difference Estimate (SE) (95\% CI) |  |

Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

## Observed Values

N subjects
Mean (SD)
Min, Max
ANCOVA Least-square means model
(95\% CI)
Treatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95)

# 51.4 (56.6) 

, 96, 146, 155
51.4 (2.7)
$\begin{array}{cc}51.4 & (2.7) \\ (46.0, & 56.8)\end{array}$

365
$33.3(47.5)$
$0,0,67,107,129$
0,183
33.3 (2.7)
(27.9, 38.7 )
18.1 (3.9)
(10.5, 25.7
<.0001

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:26

TABLE 4.3: Binary Outcome Analysis: Medicated Topical Background Therapy-Free days Defined by POEM 0-2 - Full Analysis Set Population

## Overall <br> bserved Values

N subjects
Mean (SD)
Min, Max

## Min, Max

ANCOVA Least-square means model
SM Estimates (SE)
(95\% CI)
reatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95\% CI
358
$19.3(36.8)$
$0,0,99,100$
0,113
$19.3(1.6)$
$(16.0,22.5)$

363
. 3 (36.8)
19.3 (1.6)
(16.0, 22.5)
$7.7(24.4)$
$0,0,0,22,78$
0,101
7.7 (1.6)
$(4.5,10.9)$
11.6 (2.3)
-value
(7.0, 16.1

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population, excluding subjects with baseline POEM 0-2.
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:31

TABLE 4.4: Binary Outcome Analysis: Medicated Topical Background Therapy-Free days Defined by DLQI 0-1 - Full Analysis Set Population

| Analysis / Population | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall |  |
| Observed Values |  |
| N subjects | 359 |
| Mean (SD) | 35.8 (51.2) |
| p25, median, p75, p90, p95 | 0, 0, 72, 104, 167 |
| Min, Max | 0, 185 |
| ANCOVA Least-square means model |  |
| LSM Estimates (SE) | 35.8 (2.4) |
| (95\% CI) | (31.0, 40.5) |
| Treatment Difference Estimate (SE) (95\% CI) |  |

Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

## Observed Values

N subjects
Mean (SD)
Min, Max
ANCOVA Least-square means model
SM Estimates (SE)
reatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95\% CI
p-value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 35.8(51.2) \\
& , 0,72,104,167 \\
& 0,185 \\
& 35.8(2.4) \\
& (31.0,40.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population, excluding subjects with baseline DLQI 0-1.
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root] \02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:35

TABLE 4.5: Binary Outcome Analysis: Response days Defined by SCORAD-90 - Full Analysis Set Population

## Overall <br> observed Values

N subjects
Mean (SD)
p25, median

## in, Max

ANCOVA Least-square means model
SM Estimates (SE)
(95\% CI)
reatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95\% CI
362
$17.6(37.9)$
$0,0,85,112$
0,156
$17.7(1.7)$
$(14.4,20.9)$

365
. 6 (37.9)
17.7 (1.7)
(14.4, 20.9)
$7.1(23.6)$
$0,0,0,29,67$
0, 183
(95)
7.1 (1.7)
(3.8, 10.3 )
10.6 (2.3)
(6.0, 15.2

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:40

TABLE 4.6: Binary Outcome Analysis: Response days Defined by EASI-90 - Full Analysis Set Population

| Analysis / Population | Abrocitinib 200mg QD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall |  |
| Observed Values |  |
| N subjects | 362 |
| Mean (SD) | 62.1 (62.5) |
| p25, median, p75, p90, p95 | 0, 47, 126, 155, 161 |
| Min, Max | 0,204 |
| ANCOVA Least-square means model |  |
| LSM Estimates (SE) | 62.1 (3.1) |
| (95\% CI) | (56.0, 68.2) |
| Treatment Difference Estimate (SE) (95\% CI) |  |

Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

## Observed Values

N subjects
Mean (SD)
Min, Max
ANCOVA Least-square means model
SM Estimates (SE)
reatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95\% CI
-value

## 62.1 (62.5)

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error. Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:45

## Obserall <br> Observed Values

N subjects
Mean (SD)
p25, median, p75, p90, p95
Min, median
Min, Ma
ANCOVA Least-square means model
LSM Estimates (SE)
(95\% CI)
Treatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95\% CI

358
20.9 (38.7)
, 0, 0, 99, 100
20.9 (1.8)
(17.4, 24.3)

363
9.4 (27.3)
$0,0,0,32,98$
0,101
9.4 (1.8)
(6.0, 12.9)
11.5 (2.5)
( $6.6,16.4$ ) <. 0001

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population, excluding subjects with baseline POEM $0-2$.
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:49

| Analysis / Population | Abrocitinib 200mg QD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall |  |
| Observed Values |  |
| N subjects | 359 |
| Mean (SD) | 42.3 (58.5) |
| p25, median, p75, p90, p95 | 0, 0, 97, 168, 170 |
| Min, Max | 0,185 |
| ANCOVA Least-square means model |  |
| LSM Estimates (SE) | 42.3 (2.8) |
| (95\% CI) | (36.9, 47.7) |
| Treatment Difference Estimate (SE) (95\% CI) |  |

Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

## Observed Values

N subjects
p25, median, p75, p90, p95
Min, Max
ANCOVA Least-square means model
(95\% CI)
Treatment Difference Estimate (SE)
(95.
42.3 (58.5)

0, 97, 168, 170
0, 185
42.3 (2.8)
$\begin{array}{cc}42.3 & (2.8) \\ (36.9, & 47.7)\end{array}$

Notes:
 and the number of response days as dependent variable.
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population, excluding subjects with baseline DLQI 0-1.
ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; CI: Confidence Interval; LSM: Least Square Means; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.04 ANCOVA-DaysWithResponse.sas] run Thursday, November 11, 2021 at 10:04:51


Notes:
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
 severe) at enrollment.
 used rescue therapy, then this subject was counted as non-responder after that point.
The $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the event rates are exact Clopper-Pearson intervals.
[+] Stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel p-value presented for overall analysis, stratified by by disease activity (moderate, severe) at enrollment.
*] p-value <0.05
CMH: Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; NE: not estimable; OR: odds ratio; RD: Risk Difference; RR: Relative Risk.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.03 Binary Efficacy.sas] run Monday, November 22, 2021 at 13:00:28


## otes

Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
 severe) at enrollment.
 used rescue therapy, then this subject was counted as non-responder after that point.
The $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the event rates are exact Clopper-Pearson intervals.
[+] Stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel p-value presented for overall analysis, stratified by by disease activity (moderate, severe) at enrollment.
*] p-value <0.05
CMH: Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; NE: not estimable; OR: odds ratio; RD: Risk Difference; RR: Relative Risk.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.03 Binary Efficacy.sas] run Monday, November 22, 2021 at 13:00:40

TABLE 3.3: Binary Outcome Analysis: Achieving 0-2 in POEM total score by visit - Full Analysis Set Population
Table page 1 of 1.


Notes:
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population, excluding subjects with baseline POEM 0-2.
 used rescue therapy, then this subject was counted as non-responder after that point.
t] Stratifide confidence intervals for the event rates are exact Clopper-Pearson intervals
[*] p-value <0.05
CMH: Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; NE: not estimable; OR: odds ratio; RD: Risk Difference; RR: Relative Risk.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[ [root] \02 Programs $\backslash 02.03$ Binary Efficacy.sas] run Monday, November 22, 2021 at 13:00:48

TABLE 3.4: Binary Outcome Analysis: Achieving 0-1 in DLQI total score by visit - Full Analysis Set Population
Table page 1 of 1.


## Notes:

Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population, excluding subjects with baseline DLQI 0-1.

used rescue therapy, then this subject was counted as non-responder after that point.
The $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the event rates are exact clopper-Pearson intervals.
$[+]$ Stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel p-value presented for overall analysis, stratified by by disease activity (moderate, severe) at enrollment.
[*] p-value <0.05
CMH: Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; NE: not estimable; OR: odds ratio; RD: Risk Difference; RR: Relative Risk.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[ [root] \02 Programs \02.03 Binary Efficacy.sas] run Monday, November 22, 2021 at 13:00:57

TABLE 3.5: Binary Outcome Analysis: Objective SCORAD-90 response at week 26 - Full Analysis Set Population
Table page 1 of 1.

| Abrocitinib 200 mg QD Events (\%) | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W |  |  | Dupilumab 300mg Q2W vs. Abrocitinib 200 mg QD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Events (\%) OR |  |  | RR RD |  |  |
| n (95\% CI) | n | (95\% CI) | (95\% CI) | (95\% CI) | (95\% CI) | CMH p-value [+] |
| Overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Visit Week 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362 82 (22.7\%) | 365 | 53 (14.5\%) | 1.72 | 1.56 | 8.1\% | 0.0048 +* |
| (18.4\%, 27.3\%) |  | (11.1\%, 18.6\%) | (1.18, 2.52) | (1.14, 2.13) | (2.5\%, 13.8\%) |  |

Notes:
Number of subjects: Full Analysis Set Population
 severe) at enrollment.
 used rescue therapy, then this subject was counted as non-responder after that point.
The $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the event rates are exact Clopper-Pearson intervals.
[+] Stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel p-value presented for overall analysis, stratified by by disease activity (moderate, severe) at enrollment.
[*] p-value <0.05
CMH: Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel; NE: not estimable; OR: odds ratio; RD: Risk Difference; RR: Relative Risk.
Analysis Cut Off date: 06AUG2021
[[root]\02 Programs \02.03 Binary Efficacy.sas] run Monday, November 22, 2021 at 13:01:05

